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#### Abstract

Revolutions are invariably viewed as the violent replacement of an existing political order. However, many social innovations that result in fundamental institutional and cultural shifts do not occur via force nor have clear beginning and ending dates. Focusing on early-modern England, we provide the first-ever quantitative inquiry into such quiet revolutions. Using existing topic model estimates that leverage caselaw and print-culture corpora, we construct annual time series of attention to 100 legal and 110 cultural ideas between the mid $-16^{\text {th }}$ and mid- $18^{\text {th }}$ centuries. We estimate the timing of structural breaks in these series. Quiet revolutions begin when there are concurrent upturns in attention to several related topics. Early-modern England featured several quiet, but profound, revolutionary episodes. The financial revolution began by 1660. The Protectorate saw a revolution in land law. A revolution in caselaw relating to families was underway by the early $18^{\text {th }}$ century. Elizabethan times saw an increased emphasis on basic skills and showed signs of a Puritan revolution affecting both theology and ideas on institutions. In the decade before the Civil War, a quiet revolution of dissent preceded the turmoil that led to a king's beheading.
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[^0]Here's fine revolution if we had the trick to see't.

Hamlet, V, i, 85

## 1. Introduction

In popular parlance, the word 'revolution' is inextricably associated with events comparable to the French and the Russian revolutions, with the connotation of a violent replacement of an existing political order by a new one. In economics, political science, and to some extent history, a similar assumption pervades. Goldstone (2014, p. 4) provides a definition reflecting that literature: "Revolution is the forcible overthrow of a government through mass mobilization (whether military or civilian or both) in the name of social justice, to create new political institutions. ${ }^{11}$ With this focus, some historians have even had no problem classifying the English Civil War as a nonrevolution because it left few traces in the constitutional arrangements that were in place after the restoration of the monarchy (McInnes, 1982).

In discussion of conceptual (e.g., scientific) changes, as well, the tendency has been to follow the spirit of the political-revolutions-as-sudden-overthrow view. Thus, Thagard (1992, pp. 3-6) counts "conceptual changes as revolutionary if they involve the replacement of the whole system of concepts and rules by a new system", by "analogy with political upheavals".

Nevertheless, one does not stumble when countenancing the thought of an industrial revolution. The Industrial Revolution spanned a century or more and occurred below the commanding heights of society, with even the central contributors unaware that they were overthrowing some existing order. Nevertheless, this revolution had, and is still having, epochal effects on world political and economic development.

Analogously, the term 'quiet revolution' rolls smoothly off the tongue in English and many other languages. ${ }^{2}$ The listener will have the visceral sense that this implies large-scale socioeconomic change, not necessarily involving the political order, and with no beginning and ending years that are easily discernible from the historical record. Indeed the notion of an industrial revolution falls into this category.

Quiet revolutions are often about changes in ideas-ideas about how to live one's life, how one comprehends God, how society should be structured, how the physical world and technology work,

[^1]and how individuals and social structures relate to each other. Quiet revolutions are therefore often about institutions, both formal and informal. Quiet revolutions can result in the overthrow of an existing institutional order, without any associated cataclysmic political event. This reverses the causality implicit in Goldstone's (2014) definition.

We contend that legal and cultural revolutions, the two areas that we examine below, can occur in exactly this, quiet, way. Moreover, they can be every bit as momentous in historical development as adjectival-less revolutions. Changes in law and culture are most important when they conquer a large segment of the population. And although this inevitably happens slowly, it can be revolutionary. A nation that begins with unanimous support for the divine right of kings but loses $2 \%$ of adherents every year will be majority non-adherents after 35 years, creating the possibility of enormous political change, such as the judicial beheading of a monarch.

Why, then, does the economics and political science literature focus so intently on revolutions-as-forcible-overthrow? Part of the reason must surely be that wars, violent strife, and new constitutions are so easy to observe. In law there is an analogous phenomenon where parliamentary acts and single landmark cases are usually the center of attention, interpreted as marking the time when the world changed. In culture, there is a similar focus on the few Newtons and Luthers rather than on the many Taylors and Schneiders. However, these focal events and people are merely straightjackets that constrain the way history is told. One plausible explanation for this constraint lies in the inherent difficulty in analyzing the voluminous but decentralized and disaggregated information that drips constantly from the myriad contributions (e.g., court cases and texts) that together could produce quiet legal and cultural revolutions.

This paper produces a quantitative account of quiet revolutions in the formative years of English development, which ran from the mid-1500's to the mid-1700's. ${ }^{3}$ The tasks we face in creating this picture are four-fold. First, find suitable data sources to drive the investigation. Second, create a numerical record from the data that can drive the quantitative analysis. Third, face up to Hamlet's problem: find the trick to see the quiet revolutions. Fourth, interpret our findings once we have developed plausible candidates to label as quiet revolutions. The remainder of this introduction provides more details on these steps, guiding the reader through the paper.

As we describe in Section 2, in previous work (Grajzl and Murrell, 2021a, 2021b, 2023c) we prepared two large machine-readable corpora for the application of machine-learning methods. These corpora are on caselaw and print culture. In those papers, we then proceeded to develop

[^2]quantitative summaries of the core ideas contained in the corpora. This involved the application of topic modeling, an unsupervised machine-learning technique. We produced and interpreted the estimates of 100 topics for caselaw and 110 for culture (e.g., Claims from Financial Instruments; French Romance; Petitions, Protests, \& Proposals). Most importantly, we generated the information necessary to produce time series of the yearly attention to each topic.

We use these data to detect the onset of quiet revolutions, the time that is equivalent to 1789 (in France) or 1917 (in Russia) for more well-known events. The onset would be marked by a conspicuous upturn in the attention to the specific topics that relate to some particular sets of ideas. In Section 3, we identify when such events occur. We do so by constructing the relevant time series of attention to the caselaw and culture topics and then using standard econometric tools for estimating unknown structural breaks in the resulting series.

We find evidence of breaks signifying a large increase in attention in $41 \%$ of the caselaw series and in $60 \%$ of the culture series. We are able to summarize all our core results with two figures, each showing the timing of the applicable breaks and the names of the corresponding topics.

Yet, one break does not a revolution make. It would be implausible to talk about a revolution occurring if we relied on just one upturn in attention. We must examine whether several related upturns in attention occurred around the same time. This involves deliberations on the substantive content of the corresponding topics in the light of the historical context. We do so in Sections 4 (for caselaw) and 5 (for culture), which present our main substantive results.

We find, for example, that a (quiet) revolution in the caselaw relevant to finance began in the third quarter of the $17^{\text {th }}$ century, much earlier than assumed by most scholars. This was followed by a revolution in family caselaw that appeared in the first decade of the $18^{\text {th }}$ century, a revolution that increased the scope of the property rights of women and made it easier for patriarch landowners to control the disposition of their estates far into the future.

We find several quiet revolutions in culture. In the Elizabethan era (1558-1603), for instance, there are three broad areas that show large increases in attention. The largest set contains mundane skills, the "counting, classifying, and cataloging", or 3 C's, of Mokyr (2016). There is also a cluster of religious topics, together with a smaller cluster of topics on politics and institutions.

Strikingly, all three areas contain signatures of Puritanism: the Puritans, more so than other Protestant sects, used rigorous, organized lessons for instructions in the scripture (the 3 C 's in religion); they emphasized decentralized governance in the church, one of the areas receiving increased attention; and most of the religious topics highlighted in the Elizabethan era reflect themes associated with Puritanism. The phrase 'Puritan Revolution' is almost universally associated with the events surrounding the Civil War (1642-1649), both in common parlance and in historical studies. Our analysis suggests its cultural imprint appears already in the Elizabethan period.

There are dozens and dozens of estimates of facts appearing below in this paper. Thus, we could make many more comments of critique or corroboration on the existing literature had we
the space to do so. But we do not. Instead, we highlight in a few cases our agreements and disagreements with two of the most celebrated recent works in economics that cover the types of terrain on ideas that we examine here-McCloskey (2016) and Mokyr (2016). Indeed, this paper can be viewed as taking up one of the challenges that McCloskey forcefully presents. Economists and economic historians need to look more at word-evidence: "We need verbal thermometers..." (McCloskey, 2016, p. 236). ${ }^{4}$ This paper takes the approach of text-as-data very seriously.

## 2. Data on early-modern English caselaw and print culture

The variables we use in our analysis are drawn from two datasets, generated by applying unsupervised machine-learning methods to two major text corpora: the English Reports (Renton, 1900-1932; hereafter ER) and the Text Creation Partnership (2022; hereafter TCP). In the following, we describe each of the two corpora. We then outline how we generated the datasets using machine-learning tools.

### 2.1. The caselaw corpus: the English Reports (ER)

The ER constitutes the definitive set of 129,042 reports on decisions rendered in the English courts of law between the early $13^{\text {th }}$ century and the mid- $19^{\text {th }}$ century. While coverage is sparse for the early years, the number of reports becomes substantial by the mid $-16^{\text {th }}$ century. We use the reports on cases heard before 1765. This year marks the approximate onset of the Industrial Revolution and also a time when the style of law reporting changed, becoming more standardized and methodical than previously.

The ER constitutes neither the population nor a random sample of cases adjudicated in the English courts. Rather, the ER includes a subset of the cases considered by the superior courts. ${ }^{5}$ Reporters were especially keen on providing a record of cases that highlighted unsettled or new aspects of law, that is, cases that gave rise to legal development (Grajzl and Murrell, 2021a). However, there is no precise record of how cases were chosen for inclusion in the collections of reports that were later consolidated in the ER.

The ER became the de facto record of court cases that the English legal profession used as its authoritative source for legal precedent. Thus, although the ER do not include all judgments made in the superior courts, they provide a record of the bulk of cases that came to influence the law. In this sense, the ER provide unique insight into the nature of English caselaw development between the mid- $16^{\text {th }}$ and late $18^{\text {th }}$ centuries. No comprehensive exploration of English legal history during the early modern era could be conducted without the ER. No alternative machine-readable legalhistorical corpus of comparable depth and breadth exists for the preindustrial era.

[^3]
### 2.2. The culture corpus: the Text Creation Partnership (TCP)

The TCP is a machine-readable corpus of 60,331 texts that capture aspects of pre-1700 English print culture. The texts were prepared by the Text Creation Partnership and included in Early English Books Online (EEBO). TCP (2022) provides a wealth of information about the process underlying the assembling of the corpus. Here, we only emphasize key features.

The starting point of the creation of the texts for the EEBO-TCP project were catalogs that "trace the history of English thought from the first book printed in English in 1475 through to 1700" (TCP, 2022). The TCP corpus comprises about one half of the texts listed in a master catalog of works known to still exist. The selection of texts to be included in the TCP corpus was based on a number of ex-ante rules. These generally reflected two elements: first, texts were chosen in an attempt to create a representative corpus and, second, a small set of choices reflected bibliographic and purely practical considerations (e.g., quality of physical copies, identifiability of the author, existence of collected works that incorporate individual texts). ${ }^{6}$ The underlying vision was "to key as many different works - as much different text - as possible" (TCP, 2022), that is, to build a corpus that approximated a random sample of available works. However, the TCP corpus cannot be viewed as providing a random sample of English culture in the relevant time period. The texts reflect the culture contained in printed text, not broad popular culture. The included texts are the surviving ones, with survival depending on how much subsequent generations valued the texts. And the project emphasized first editions: TCP is therefore more likely to reflect the production of culture than the consumption of culture. This is analogous to the ER, which reflects the production of caselaw rather than its overall use.

Nevertheless, the TCP corpus is unique in offering insight into English print culture before the $18^{\text {th }}$ century. No alternative machine-readable corpus covering a very broad spectrum of texts has been assembled that could be used as the basis of a quantitative approach to pre-1700 English culture. ${ }^{7}$

### 2.3. Dispelling selection concerns

The ER and the TCP together offer the most comprehensive machine-readable overview of English caselaw and print culture during the early-modern era. On these grounds alone, a quantitative exploration of the ER and the TCP is warranted. Indeed, an empirical analysis of the ER and the TCP promises to deliver entirely new insights into fundamental changes in English legal and cultural thought during a period that immediately preceded the era of England's uniquely early political and economic development.

As emphasized in the immediately preceding subsections, neither the ER nor the TCP corpus should be viewed as comprising a random sample of legal and cultural documents. However, we now argue that the peculiarities of the processes of selection of documents for inclusion in the ER

[^4]and the TCP should not fundamentally bias our findings. As we explain in Section 3 below, our empirical approach to identifying quiet revolutions rests on detecting sudden increases in the attention paid to specific sets of ideas contained in the corpora. For such increases to be a mere artifact of document selection, as opposed to reflecting underlying legal or cultural change, the selection process would have had to entail changes in the composition of the corpus that simultaneously occur at the same time and are large and enduring so that they would be identified by our empirical analysis. This is particularly unlikely to be the case for the ER, the assembly of which proceeded gradually over many centuries, relied on a decentralized approach, and involved generations of legal professionals (Grajzl and Murrell, 2021a: Appendix A). Individual editorial preferences would have therefore necessarily played a much more limited role than would be necessary to produce bias in our findings. Nothing in the historical record suggests that the definitive version of the ER (Renton, 1900-1932) deviated significantly from the principles of the original compilers of the cases.

Similarly, for the TCP, the entire project was run in a manner in which individual preferences of project partners (e.g., libraries), paid staff (e.g., keying vendors), and editors had a negligible impact on text selection. ${ }^{8}$ Occasionally, a project partner or an editor selected a particular small set of texts in which they were more interested, texts that focused on some specific subject in a specific time period. However, idiosyncratic selection decisions of this type would not result in a persistent rise in attention within the corpus to those specific subjects. With the focus of the project partner or editor being on a specific time period, increases in the relative attention of the corpus to that subject would soon be followed by a subsequent decline. Our empirical method will, by design, therefore not identify such changes as an element of a quiet revolution. ${ }^{9}$ Moreover, given the large number of documents in the corpus and given that most of the attention to a specific subject arises from its use across many documents, rather than in the few that focus directly on the subject itself, it is highly improbable that a group of project participants could significantly affect the results from our machine-learning model (see Section 2.4).

Last but not least, as we explain in Section 3, our approach to identifying quiet revolutions in caselaw and print culture rests on identifying increases in attention to multiple sets of thematically related, but substantively distinct, ideas: genuine quiet revolutions entail more than a single instance of change. For our approach to incorrectly identify a quiet revolution because of the processes of document selection, therefore, analogous selection patterns would have had to have

[^5]arisen in the context of multiple sets of distinct ideas and within the same time period. This is simply unlikely. For all these reasons, the selection issues underlying the assembly of the ER and TCP corpora should not skew our findings about the incidence and nature of quiet revolutions.

### 2.4. Machine-learning digests of the ER and TCP corpora

To generate measures of relative attention to specific sets of ideas found in the ER and TCP corpora, we drew on our own earlier structural topic model (STM) estimates. In what follows, we lay out the details of how we build on those earlier efforts. In this subsection, we focus on a brief summary of those earlier efforts in order to provide as complete a picture as possible of how the estimates in this paper were produced. For those interested in further details, we direct the reader to the original research papers that introduce the pertinent STMs. Grajzl and Murrell (2021a, 2021b) explain, justify, and interpret the estimates of a 100 -topic STM using the ER corpus. Grajzl and Murrell (2023c) provide similar details for the estimates of a 110-topic STM using the TCP corpus. For a less technical introduction in the context of the topic modeling of the ER corpus, the reader is directed to Grajzl and Murrell (2022b). Grimmer et al. (2022, Ch. 13) provide a broad introduction to topic-modeling.

Prior to estimating the STMs, the ER and the TCP corpora had to be carefully preprocessed. The non-standardized orthography of many centuries of English was converted into standard modern orthography. Older-style inflections were modernized. Those words that could not be found in a modern English dictionary and were readily identified as Latin were translated on a word-by-word basis. We dropped from the corpora documents that contained either an especially small number of words or an uncharacteristically high share of words that could not be matched to any word in the English dictionary even after the processing. We then identified the year of all case reports in the ER and texts in TCP. For ER, we were able to use 52,949 reports on cases heard before 1765 , a corpus of more than 31 million character strings. For TCP, we use 57,863 texts that together comprise more than 1 billion character strings. ${ }^{10}$

Topic modeling is a machine-learning (ML) technique that exploits patterns in the cooccurrence of word-use across documents to produce a concise summary by identifying the salient emphases in the corpus. These are referred to as topics (Blei, 2012; Grimmer et al., 2022). It is as if the computational methods had produced a new digest of English law or culture, divided into a number of sections, or topics. ${ }^{11}$ This is the 'dimensionality reduction' aspect of topic-modeling, producing an organized summary of a corpus that comprises an enormous amount of text that no human being could possibly hope to read.

[^6]A topic is a distribution over the corpus vocabulary: the use of a topic by an author simply indicates a greater preference for some words than others. Each document is a mixture of topics: that is, the authoring of a document implies emphasizing some topics and playing down others.

Importantly, topic-modeling is an unsupervised form of ML: the researcher simply sets up the estimation framework, but does nothing to dictate the details of the summary produced. The topics themselves are entirely the product of estimation, not the result of an attempt to fit documents (reports or texts) into pre-existing categories. But the interpretation and naming of the estimated topics is the researcher's task. This process entails studying the words most associated with each topic and a close reading of documents that feature a given topic most prominently. The detailed work of legal and cultural historians over the centuries provides the background for analysis of this information, enabling the researcher to understand which areas of law or culture a particular topic reflects, thereby driving the crucial step of topic naming. Examples of the topic names for the STM of the ER corpus (Grajzl and Murrell, 2021a, 2021b) are Assumpsit, Claims from Financial Instruments, and Self-Help in Real-Property Disputes. For the STM of TCP (Grajzl and Murrell, 2023c), examples are Monarchs' Lives, Commercial Aids, and Baconian Theology. (We capitalize topic names throughout the paper. Online Appendixes A and B provide the key words and a brief description of all the topics whose names appear in this paper.)

Topic models are crude representations of the underlying text: the order of words in a document is discarded. But topic modeling does not rely merely on word counts: topic estimation is driven by correlations of word use across documents. Thus, semantics within documents can be reflected in the resultant estimates (Reich et al., 2015). Moreover, STM (Roberts et al., 2014, 2016) directly incorporates into the estimation process information about the texts that is not contained in the text themselves (e.g., the year and the court). In comparison with earlier topic-modeling approaches, such as LDA, STM therefore improves the identification of topics (Roberts et al., 2014: Online Appendix).

We characterized each corpus with a separate STM. Due to differences between the ER and the TCP with respect to time periods covered and substantive foci, merging the ER and TCP corpora into one grand corpus would not have been warranted.

## 3. Seeing quiet revolutions: the empirical approach

In this section we first explain how we use our two sets of STM estimates to construct annual time series of relative attention to the different legal and cultural topics. We then outline our empirical strategy for identifying the onset of periods of fundamental change in the attention to topics. Periods of fundamental change in attention to multiple related topics are times when there are quiet revolutions in legal and cultural thought.

### 3.1. Constructing the time series of relative attention to legal and cultural topics

The central output of a topic model is a document-topic prevalence matrix. The columns provide information on the prevalence of a given topic in each document. The rows show the proportions of a document attributable to each of the topics. Together with the metadata about each
of the documents (for example, the year of publication and, for the ER, the adjudicating court), the resulting output provides a dataset that can drive many interesting quantitative analyses. ${ }^{12}$

Define $\theta_{d t}^{i}$ as the proportion of document $d$ from year $t$ devoted to topic $i$. We also know document word lengths, $w_{d t}$. Then, for each corpus, the relative attention of the corpus to topic $i$ in year $t$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}^{i}=\frac{\sum_{d \in D_{t}} w_{d t} \theta_{d t}^{i}}{\sum_{d \in D_{t}} w_{d t}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{t}$ is the set of all documents published in year $t$. For the ER corpus, $i=1, . ., 100$ and $t=1552, \ldots, 1764$. For the TCP corpus, $i=1, \ldots, 110$ and $t=1530, \ldots, 1700$. The starting year of the series for each corpus ( 1552 for the ER and 1530 for the TCP) corresponds to the beginning of an era when the frequency of documents in the applicable corpus becomes large enough to facilitate quantitative analysis. Figures presenting timelines for all 210 time series of attention are included in Appendices C and D. From the resulting 210 series, we drop three series. These three reflect residual topics that are artefacts of the process of using less-than-perfect texts (Non-Translated Latin in ER and TCP, and Not English for TCP). ${ }^{13}$

Two comments about measure (1) are pertinent. First, because the number of documents included in both the ER and the TCP varies considerably over time (Grajzl and Murrell, 2021a, 2023c), we focus on relative attention to a given topic as opposed to total attention. In comparison with a measure of total attention, a measure of relative attention is less sensitive to variation due to the expansion of the printing press or the increasing standardization of case reports, for example. These are the types of changes that are irrelevant to current concerns. Focusing on relative attention, therefore, is effectively analogous to the use of yearly fixed effects in a standard panel regression framework.

Second, to compute relative attention, we weight document prevalences with the number of words in a document. This weighting addresses the fact that documents can be of greatly varying lengths. Longer documents naturally provide greater in-depth elaboration of ideas than shorter documents do. Thus, not weighting documents by their length would effectively underestimate the true (relative) attention of the corpus to the pertinent ideas.

### 3.2. Searching for unknown structural breaks

Our interest is in identifying episodes of dramatic change in the relative attention paid to different legal and cultural ideas. To identify the beginnings of such periods, we use econometric tools for estimating structural breaks (see, e.g., Perron, 2006). The sample consists of $T$ annual

[^7]observations. We use a linear regression model containing only a set of constants that allow for $m$ breaks, giving rise to $m+1$ distinct regimes. Specifically, for observations in periods $t=T_{j}, T_{j}+$ $1, \ldots, T_{j+1}-1$ that characterize a given regime $j$, we posit that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}^{i}=\mu_{j}^{i}+\varepsilon_{t}^{i}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $y_{t}^{i}$ is defined in (1), $\mu_{j}^{i}$ is mean relative attention to topic $i$ during regime $j$, and $\varepsilon_{t}^{i}$ is an error term. The break year $T_{j+1}$ is the first year of the new regime.

Importantly, we do not make a priori assumptions on either the number or the years of break points for any of the series. Instead, we determine the number of breakpoints using the Bai-Perron sequential testing procedure (Bai, 1997; Bai and Perron, 1998, 2003a, 2003b). ${ }^{14}$ That procedure begins with the full sample and performs a test of whether there is one unknown break. If the applicable F-test rejects the null hypothesis of no structural breaks, the algorithm determines the break year, divides the sample at the break point and repeats the same process for each of the two subsamples. The process is repeated until F-tests fail to reject the nulls of no structural breaks in all subsamples. We use a variant of the testing procedure that is robust to serial correlation and heteroscedasticity and also allows error distributions to differ across breaks.

This procedure can discover more than one break in any given series. In that case, the first identified break reflects the most important change in the series. ${ }^{15}$ Therefore, in the analysis of our results, we use only the first break if a series features two or more breaks.

Finally, we note that the algorithm outlined above will not identify breaks that might occur very close to the start or the end of the time periods for which we have observations: the testing procedure requires a minimum segment length to identify breaks reliably. As a result, although our caselaw series extends from 1552 to 1764 and our culture series covers 1530-1700, our procedures will only uncover breaks during somewhat narrower time spans: we exclude (approximately) the first and last three decades for the ER-based series and the first and the last two-and-a-half decades for the TCP-based series. ${ }^{16}$

### 3.3. Summarizing, visualizing, and interpreting the estimates

The most basic information about our estimates of structural breaks appears in Tables E1 and E2 in Appendix E, the former for caselaw and the latter for culture. For each series, the tables show the year of each identified break (if any), with multiple breaks (if more than one) listed in the order produced by the procedure outlined in Section 3.2.

[^8]Tables E1 and E2 also provide information on whether the break entailed an increase (up) or a decrease (down) in relative attention to the corresponding ideas. This is vital information because different directions of changes in relative attention reflect fundamentally different aspects of the development of the underlying legal or cultural ideas. As argued in Section 2, the ER and TCP corpora focus on works that stress new ideas. Grajzl and Murrell (2021a) show that the amount of attention paid to a set of ideas (a topic) in any given year is a function of the amount of change in adherence to the set of ideas in that year. Then, the annual time series of relative attention to a topic reflects the intensity of diffusion of the associated ideas in each year.

A significant positive change (up break) in the relative attention paid to a topic therefore signifies the beginning of an era when the associated ideas begin to diffuse more rapidly: these ideas are occupying a more prominent place in the cultural space. For example, ideas about precedent-based thought began to diffuse more rapidly only in the second half of the $17^{\text {th }}$ century (see Section 4).

In contrast, a negative change (down break) marks the start of an epoch when the applicable ideas attract reduced attention. ${ }^{17}$ This is an indication that the proponents of those ideas no longer needed to present them forcefully: it is a time when the ideas have become relatively accepted, or consolidated. For instance, our data show that relative attention to many ideas about real property decline in the first half of the $17^{\text {th }}$ century, as feudalism waned (see Table E1 in Appendix E). Therefore, down breaks are not associated with the beginnings of revolutionary changes.

We thus focus exclusively on up breaks. In discussing our findings, we summarize the core information on the up breaks from Tables C1 and C2 using Figures 1 and 2. Time is measured on the vertical axis. The horizontal axis lists the series that feature an up break as the first estimated break, with the series listed from left to right based on the chronological order of those up breaks.

Figures 1 and 2 allow one to easily identify periods of profound legal-institutional and cultural change. Imagine a line tracing out the break markers. Then, a revolutionary episode would appear as a section with a gentle slope, showing a pattern of up breaks distributed over a narrow time interval. In contrast, a period of gradual change would have a comparatively steep slope, showing a set of up breaks scattered over a relatively extended time period.

## 4. Quiet revolutions in caselaw

Of the 99 caselaw series that we analyze, $41(41 \%)$ evidence an up break, with the earliest one occurring in 1593 (Attorney- \& Solicitor-General) and the latest one in 1734 (Multiparty Cases). As readily seen in Figure 1, we can periodize the patterns in (up) breaks into four distinct clusters. These are in the late Elizabethan and early Stuart period; the late Protectorate period; the period from the Restoration to the end of Stuarts; and the early Hanoverian era. In what follows, we focus

[^9]on the first three of these clusters of breaks. The early Hanoverian period features only a few breaks in thematically unrelated series and therefore did not witness any quiet revolution in the law.

### 4.1. The late Elizabethan and early Stuart era: gradual change

The period between 1585 and 1645 features ten breaks. These can be traced with a relatively steep slope indicating a time when there is a succession of newer legal ideas appearing, but only sporadically. During the early part of this era, the waning years of Elizabeth I's reign, we see no particular focus in the changes. For example, there is an increase in attention to Nuisance (break 1586), a set of legal ideas about how to govern a broad range of disputes involving unlawful use of one's property (for example, when a person's construction on their own land blocks a neighbor's light). ${ }^{18}$ Later, there is a break in Restraints on Trade (1599), a topic reflecting legal issues regarding the Crown's right to extend monopolies. While these signify the rising importance of two areas of law that are still immensely important today, the work of the courts during the later Elizabethan ideas can hardly count as contributing to a revolutionary episode.
----- [Figure 1 about here, displayed in landscape orientation to occupy a full page] -----
The early Stuart era (James I, 1603-1625, and Charles I, 1625-1649) exhibits seven breaks. This is again a time of gradual change, but in contrast to the previous time period the new areas of attention have a common theme. Several breaks involve topics pertaining to court procedure and jurisdiction, for example about jurisdictional boundaries of different courts (Prohibiting Jurisdiction, 1604), the domain of equity (Equity Jurisdiction, 1629), and judicial review of orders by local officials (Reviewing Local Orders, 1630). Later there is a break in Precedent (1642), the most prominent topic in the whole pre-1765 ER corpus (see Grajzl and Murrell, 2021a) and the defining feature of the English legal tradition. Contributions to traditional legal-historical research vary in their assessment of the timing of the emergence of widespread emphasis on precedentbased thought. Our empirical evidence indicates that the use of precedent began to take hold before the middle of the $17^{\text {th }}$ century. ${ }^{19}$

The first half of the $17^{\text {th }}$ century therefore witnessed a gradual process whereby the courts turned their attention to key aspects of their own organization, functioning, and mode of legal analysis. Given the acknowledged importance of the English legal system for comparative development, given the central role of precedent in that system, and given the crucial contribution of the separation of common law and equity (Grajzl and Murrell, 2022b), legal change might have been gradual (as opposed to revolutionary) at this time, but in terms of its consequences it was profound.

[^10]
### 4.2. The Civil War and the Protectorate: the land law revolution

Our estimates identify a cluster of five breaks occurring during the late Protectorate, between 1656 and 1659. However it should be noted that the paucity of reported cases for that period makes the precise estimate of the year of breaks subject to some error: what is being captured is the difference between the 1650's in general and the Restoration afterwards. Several of these breaks may be interpreted as reflecting the after-effects of the turmoil of the earlier Civil War era and then the Commonwealth's attempt to enact a new institutional order. ${ }^{20}$

Real property issues were especially important. ${ }^{21}$ Our estimates reveal breaks in Contingency in Wills (1659) and in Estate Tail (1657), two topics that are intimately related to real-property issues.

Especially notable is the break in Mortgages (1659). Earlier, courts had begun to move away from viewing mortgages as a way of conveying ownership rights over land to understanding mortgages as transactions that provided security to creditors (see, e.g., Plucknett, 1948, p. 652). During the Protectorate, the development of mortgage markets was spurred by an influx of landowners who needed funds in order to pay hefty fines imposed as a result of their support of the Crown. For lenders, extending mortgage-backed loans to these landowners was an attractive option as the government was viewed as an unreliable debtor and demand for loans from the merchant community was low (Habakkuk, 1965). The legal nuances of transactions, and the corresponding disputes that emerged, had to be ironed out in the courts. As we argue below, the break in Mortgages may also be interpreted as signifying the early beginnings of the financial revolution, which is clearly identifiable in our data.

While there is debate among legal historians about when the most fundamental changes in $17^{\text {th }}$ century English land law occurred, and whether they can be classified as "revolutionary" (Reid, 1995), our results suggest a quiet revolution begins in the last years of the Protectorate.

### 4.3. From the Restoration to the end of the Stuarts: financial and family revolutions

The precise timing of the financial revolution has long been a point of contention in the literature. According to the, still, most influential view, the Glorious Revolution provided the key impetus for the financial revolution (North and Weingast, 1989). Subsequent research has challenged this perspective. ${ }^{22}$ But this research was not based on quantitative assessments of developments in caselaw. Grajzl and Murrell (2021b) provide the first quantitative evidence that many of the ideas relevant to caselaw on finance were accepted already by the mid- $17^{\text {th }}$ century.

[^11]By estimating structural breaks in time series constructed from the data generated by the Grajzl and Murrell (2021a) topic model, we add new precision to these assertions.

Four breaks in the caselaw directly related to finance follow closely on the one for Mortgages in 1659: Repaying Debt (1671), Executable Purchase Agreements (1672), Claims from Financial Instruments (1673), and Prioritizing Claims (1673). Then in 1686, there is a break in Negotiable Bills \& Notes (1686), a topic on an area of caselaw whose development was vital to the increasing use of bills of exchange and promissory notes. Our analysis thus demonstrates that a financial revolution was stirring well before the last decade of the $17^{\text {th }}$ century. This revolution in caselaw began well before the Glorious Revolution of 1688.

Our estimates also show that the latter part of the long $17^{\text {th }}$ century featured another quiet revolution, one comprising successive breaks in topics pertaining to families. That the nature and dynamics of family life in England changed in the late preindustrial era is well understood. Erickson (1993, p. 6), for example, argues that sometime after 1700 England experienced a cultural shift toward affective families. However, the legal-institutional aspects and the timing of the family revolution have not been pinpointed using systematic data.

Our results reveal four breaks in 21 years in topics directly relevant to families, with three of those breaks occurring no more than three years apart. Marking the start of the family revolution is the break in Geographic Settlement of the Poor (1696). The rise in the attention to this topic, which often focused on children (see Grajzl and Murrell, 2021a), reflects the legal system's reaction to the administration of poor laws, which placed parishes at the heart of poverty relief. With legislative refinements in the rules of settlement that restricted a person to relief in a specific parish, parishes and the poor subsequently engaged in litigation that contested decisions on the awarding or denial of relief.

At the start of the $18^{\text {th }}$ century, there is a cluster of breaks in Implementing Trusts (1705), Marriage Settlement (1705), Daughters' Legacies (1706), and Implementing Ambiguous Wills (1707). Marriage settlements were trusts of land and other assets established by the families of the bride and groom. These contractual arrangements specified, for example, how the marital property was to be used and what happened to this property upon the death of one of the betrothed. As such, marriage settlements allowed families to circumvent common-law constraints on inheritance and on the use of the wife's assets during marriages. Parents could ensure a better legacy for their daughters (hence the break in the corresponding topic). But the implementation of marriage settlements required advances in the law of trusts (and hence another break). The first decade of the $18^{\text {th }}$ century thus saw a quiet revolution in law relevant to family property. The corresponding institutional developments would have had important repercussions for labor and capital markets and, consequently, would have stimulated the economy on the eve of industrialization (Grajzl and Murrell, 2023b).

Our analysis also casts light on the deep institutional origins of the financial and family revolutions. Historically, the English legal order evolved into a structure based on two complementary but distinct systems: common law, adjudicated primarily in King's/Queen's Bench
and Common Pleas, and equity, administered primarily by Chancery. Common law relied on an elaborate and rigid schema of writs, emphasized adversarial procedure, and used juries. Equity allowed for laxer pleading rules, used an inquisitorial procedure without juries, and provided relief in the form of alternative remedies such as decrees and injunctions (see, e.g., Baker, 2019).

Some scholars of English legal history have suggested that during the later preindustrial era, "the chief engine of law reform...was the judicial actions of the Courts, proceeding chiefly under the guise of Equity, and chiefly...in the court of Chancery" (Jenks, 1938, p. 211). But just how important was equity as a complement to common law in spearheading the financial and family revolutions? To answer this question, we focus on the twelve finance and family time series that feature a break between the late Protectorate and the end of the Stuart period. Using information on the court in which each case was heard, we then compute Chancery's contribution to attention to the twelve topics in the two decades prior to and after the breaks in their time series (see Appendix F for details).

The analysis reveals that, of the twelve finance and family topics exhibiting a break during the second part of the $17^{\text {th }}$ century or in the early $18^{\text {th }}$ century, Chancery contributed over one-half of the observed average yearly relative attention for nine (Mortgages, Executable Purchase Agreements, Claims from Financial Instruments, Prioritizing Claims, Implementing Trusts, Marriage Settlement, Daughters' Legacies, Implementing Ambiguous Wills, Bankruptcy). Hence, the financial and family-law revolutions were led by developments in equity, not common law.

Finally, our estimates reveal that the pattern of breaks observed from 1670 to 1688 continues smoothly into the last decade of the $17^{\text {th }}$ century. There is no sign that the political and constitutional changes of 1688-1689 produced significant changes in the rate at which new areas of caselaw were coming to the attention of the courts. ${ }^{23}$ The noisy Glorious Revolution did not produce a subsequent revolution in English caselaw. Our findings thus bolster the conclusions of recent studies that emphasize the non-revolutionary nature of the Glorious Revolution in terms of its impact on institutional change (Murrell, 2017; Hodgson, 2017, 2023; Ogilvie and Carus, 2014).

## 5. Quiet revolutions in culture

We examine breaks in 108 series derived from the topic-model of the TCP corpus. There are 65 series $(60 \%)$ that have up breaks, $19(18 \%)$ that have down breaks, and $24(22 \%)$ with no breaks. Nothing intrinsic in the methods nor in historical processes inevitably leads to more up than down breaks. ${ }^{24}$ The best interpretation of the imbalance between the types of breaks for culture is that

[^12]this is a period of cultural awakening, with the resultant cultural diffusion still ongoing as our data end. As before, we solely focus on up breaks, which are portrayed in Figure 2, whose structure and general interpretation is identical to that of Figure 1.

A quick glance at Figure 2 suggests a periodization in the ebb and flow of new cultural developments, with four easily identifiable eras: the reign of Elizabeth (1558-1603); the early Stuart era (1604-1635); the period immediately preceding the Civil War, (1636-1642); and the era of political vicissitude (1643-1676). We discuss each in turn.

### 5.1. The Elizabethan era: widespread cultural innovation

During the reign of Elizabeth (1558-1603), there are 29 breaks, occurring at a more rapid pace than average. This is an era of widespread cultural innovation. Three broad areas dominate the topics that exhibit a break, that is, begin to gain significantly more attention. Numerically dominant are basic skills, or "counting, classifying, and cataloging" (the 3 C's) to use Mokyr's (2016, p. 275) euphonious phrasing. ${ }^{25}$ Next in numerical importance are religious topics, innovations in which become especially more frequent in the later years of this era. Third, there is a smaller cluster of topics on politics and institutions. As we comment below, many of the topics straddle more than one of these areas.
----- [Figure 2 about here, displayed in landscape orientation to occupy a full page] -----
The 3 C's refers to practical knowledge that is below the level that would justify the label of science. The topic Using Numbers (break in 1587) is a typical example: one 1602 document that heavily uses that topic is entitled "A Caveat for the Borrower: or, a perfect table of usurie, shewing how much the principal sum, with the interest, and interest upon interest, from one pound to a thousand amounts unto yearly for twenty-one year, after the rate of ten in the hundred". A similar topic is Compilations of Laws (1588), heavily featured, for example, in a document that discusses what fines are and what their purpose and amount is. Catechismal Compilations (1570) is all about cataloging basic skills that are most applicable in the religious sphere. Among the many additional examples are Equine Learning (1566), Scholarly Learning Guides (1580), and Listing City Facts (1580). Note that in this period there are more innovations in non-religious, non-political culture than in all other time periods covered by our data.

The early break in Using Numbers is particularly interesting. McCloskey (2016, pp. 270-275) would associate this topic with the bourgeois virtue of prudence, placing its appearance in the late $17^{\text {th }}$ century, particularly under Dutch influence. But we place the heightened interest in calculating in the late $16^{\text {th }}$ century. For example, a 1589 document entitled "The Booke of Thrift, containing a perfite order, and right methode to profite lands, and other things belonging to Husbandry" begins by advising "First he that shal render account, ought to sweare that he shall render a true account... let him cast up all to the just value of money, to charge therewith his account." This is a notable

[^13]contrast with McCloskey's (2016, Ch. 34) claim that reasoning by counting was disdained in Shakespeare's time.

The second cluster of topics featuring breaks encompasses Protestant themes, particularly Puritan ones. ${ }^{26}$ Examples are Apocalyptic Theology (1570) and Sin Damnation and Repentance (1594). But most interestingly, many of these 'Puritan' topics are ones that border on the 3 C's and politics: Autonomous Church Governance (1572), Practicing Christianity (1580), Catechismal Compilations (1570), Political Uses of Religion (1573), and Lawfulness (1583).

In 1553, a large group of Protestant clergy fled England upon the accession of Mary I, a Catholic monarch who had reversed the reforms implemented in the aggressively Protestant reign of her brother. The fleeing clergy spent their exile in the major Protestant centers of Europe. A plurality spent time in Calvin's Geneva, where they fully absorbed the lessons of church selfgovernment, the primacy of the Bible in understanding their role in the world and their relationship with God, the didactic importance of personalized sermons, and the necessity of practicing religion in every aspect of their lives, from the sphere of the family to the governance of the nation. The Marian exiles returned to England on the accession of the Protestant Elizabeth in 1558. They, and their disciples, continually struggled to change the tenor of the Protestantism that was practiced in England, both within and outside the church.

The Puritans intensively used rigorous, repetitive, and organized lessons, that is catechisms, for instruction in the scriptures. Catechisms are numbered lists, classifying and cataloging lessons. The complementarity with the 3 C 's is transparent. Inside the church the Puritans favored decentralization of decisions to lower levels and the freedom of the clergy to spread personalized messages about how life should be conducted. Given that the English church was a wholly political structure, this had inevitable implications for political and legal behavior. Thus, there is a transparent complementarity with politics and institutions, the third of our cluster of related breaks during the Elizabethan era. Among this cluster are Autonomous Church Governance (1572), Political Uses of Religion (1573), Lawfulness (1583), Republicanism (1585), Compilations of Laws (1588), and Catholics under Protestant Rule (1592).

The birth of all of these inter-related areas of cultural attention surely amounts to a quiet revolution of some significance. Some authors (e.g., Acemoglu and Robinson 2012) have connected the Industrial Revolution directly to institutional development in the late $17^{\text {th }}$ century; Mokyr (2016, p. 274) suggests that the Industrial Revolution was a result of the $18^{\text {th }}$ century confluence of artisanal ingenuity and the scientific method and discovery; McCloskey (2016, p. xii) associates England's enrichment with a pro-bourgeois rhetoric forming around 1700. Our analysis has discovered embryonic elements of all these in the late Elizabethan period, all

[^14]reflecting to some extent signature ideas of Puritanism. ${ }^{27}$ Of course, there were other factors contributing to this emerging zeitgeist. Perhaps existing English culture had prepared the way for the 3 C's (Harkness, 2007); perhaps the early English tradition of individualism played a big part (Macfarlane, 1978). Or perhaps it was a fateful interaction of all three. The uncertainty here about definitive conclusions echoes Mokyr's (2016, p. 231) emphasis on the contingency of cultural evolution.

### 5.2. The early Stuart era: seeds of the scientific revolution?

The thirty-year Early Stuart era (1604 to 1635) can best be viewed as a desultory one during which few new cultural foci began to emerge. There are nine breaks, four of which are religious. But, in contrast to the Elizabethan era, these four cannot be associated with a common theme.

Intriguingly, two of the more interesting breaks relate to science. Deductive Reasoning (1628) develops insights using logical rules and methods (not empirical facts). In TCP, there are examples of its use in religion, science, and philosophy. Baconian Theology (1615) uses logical arguments and emphasizes learning from facts, biblical, historical, or personal. It harnesses deduction in pursuit of its inductive conclusions, developing broader theological insights from experience, or experiment. When its methods were later applied in the secular domain, they would be labeled as Puritan science (Mokyr, 2016, pp. 227-237).

Therefore, one possible interpretation of the increasing attention to Baconian Theology is that it was stimulated by the rise of Puritan religious thought in the Elizabethan era. This is consistent with our data since the spike in attention in the Early Stuart era marks the moment when gradual developments during the Elizabethan era began to spread widely (Grajzl and Murrell, 2023c). According to this interpretation, Bacon's philosophical works were merely sophisticated versions of ideas that were becoming widespread exactly when Bacon's works were being produced. ${ }^{28}$ In support of this view, we do know that Bacon spent the early decades of his life in an environment suffused with Puritanism. ${ }^{29}$ Moreover, there is little evidence that Bacon's works themselves were accorded much attention in England in the first few decades after their publication, suggesting that it was not Bacon himself who spurred the increasing attention to Baconian theology.

The alternative hypothesis, emphasized by Mokyr (2016: Ch. 7, 13), is that Bacon was the real innovator (providing a cultural mutation) and that the complementarity with Puritan ideas was later and fortuitous: "The lineage from Francis Bacon to the Industrial Revolution via Puritan science is easily discernible." Our evidence points in a different direction, that Bacon's works on scientific

[^15]method might be better viewed as highly successful expositions of ideas that existed within his cultural milieu, and in this sense Mokyr's view of Bacon as a cultural entrepreneur, not an innovator, should be stressed more. ${ }^{30}$ Choosing between these hypotheses will require delving much more deeply into the data, but it will certainly involve text methods.

### 5.3. The immediate pre-Civil War era: a quiet revolution of dissent

This era (1636-1642) begins with the popular defiance of the Crown in the open refusal to pay taxes (Langelüddecke, 2007) and ends with the final rift that precipitated the Civil War. It is the most fecund of our four time periods in terms of emerging foci of cultural change ( $18 \%$ of breaks in just $6 \%$ of years). It is a time of political dissent, with the King dissenting from more widespread views on the limits of his own powers and Parliament distinguishing between its allegiance to constitutional structures and its loyalty to the particular person inhabiting the monarchy. Although the era ended with a cataclysmic revolution, the Civil War, there was no internal warfare during this era.

Nine of the topics with breaks fit into the category of politics and institutions. ${ }^{31}$ Several of these have religious elements. Dissent, Schism, \& Toleration (1636) captures the language of debates about Protestant dissenters from the Church of England. Fearing Catholicism (1641) reflects establishment views on the dangers of Catholicism, both theological and political. Allegiance \& Resistance (1642) expresses ideas on the appropriate behavior of those whose views collide with the dominant ideology, whatever the disagreements were. This is not a religious topic but nevertheless its language would have appeared in the documents of those whose disagreements were primarily religious.

One aspect of allegiance or resistance is the use of rhetorical tools to make one's case before the highest political bodies. The language of Petitions, Protests \& Proposals (1639) and of Economic Lobbying (1641) now receive more attention in print publications. The former focuses on broad political issues, for example, religious regulations, while the latter reflects more parochial concerns, such as the raising of the interest rate.

There is also concern with how to structure governance. Constitutional Rules (1641) reflects caselaw and statutes concerning the rights and duties of the highest-level actors in the nation, as well as the structure of the institutions within which these actors function. Parliamentary \& Court Procedure (1641) captures discussions of procedural rules within the courts and Parliament. Scottish Political Acts (1638) and (English) Ordinances (1642) focus on the language that is typical of the rule-making in their respective spheres.

The rise in attention to this coherent set of topics in such a short period indicates that a sea change has begun in the way that the English write about their politics. There is increasing

[^16]emphasis on discussion of how to dissent from rulers, on demanding policies and reform from their leaders, and on how to structure the rules within which the rulers interact with the ruled. That is, the language of normal democratic processes receives more attention in English culture, a language that has been used ever since. This is a quiet revolution of words and ideas, one that preceded the noisy revolution of force and arms.

### 5.4. Era of political vicissitude: ongoing cultural diffusion

This time of great political changes (1643-1676)-the civil wars, the judicial beheading of a monarch, the Protectorate, and the Restoration of the monarchy-sees breaks occurring at approximately the average pace for the entire period covered by the culture data. At the same time, it is difficult to make the case that any specific type of subject matter is particularly prominent in these cultural developments; breaks in politics and institutions, in particular, are not important. Nevertheless, one can see elements of an evolving culture: there are more new areas of attention in science than in skills, and the religious topics that have breaks do not show any distinctive marks of Puritanism.

The first breaks in this era are in two religious topics, Attacking False Doctrine (1644) and Reasonable Religious Discourse (1645). These capture styles of discourse much more than new areas of theology. At the same time, new skills topics have breaks, Student Practitioner Law (1647) and Bibliographical Practice (1654). Intriguingly, under a political regime usually characterized as austere in its pursuit of a Godly Kingdom, French Romance (1652) begins to gain attention. Could this have been the first glimmer of the culture that in the lighter works of history has usually been viewed as characterizing the Restoration: an era concerned with entertaining itself, often in a ribald manner? ${ }^{32}$ The new attention to Lusty Entertainments (1660) is consistent with that conjecture.

Separate fields of science begin to emerge: Physics (1661), Chemistry (1662), Diseases \& Cures (1663), and Anatomy (1664). Given the founding of the Royal Society in 1660, the timing is particularly intriguing. Grajzl and Murrell (2023) find no evidence that the founding of the Royal Society had a causal effect on the total amount of scientific culture produced. (Natural Philosophy, which covered all areas of science, exhibits an up break almost a century before, in 1571, and is still important at this time.) Hence, the primary effect of the Royal Society seems to have been to stimulate the emergence of the different disciplines within society. It will surprise no-one to learn that organization led to compartmentalization.

Few patterns can be detected in the new areas of cultural attention that surface in those years of the Restoration covered by our data. Still, there are some intriguing developments. Two topics

[^17]with breaks reflect theology from the Church of England. Salvation Via Virtue (1666) expresses a utilitarian view of what it means to live a good life, very much in contrast to the Salvation via Faith (1641), which is closer to Puritanism. In a similar vein, Ecclesiastical History (1675) captures views from supporters of the Church of England on those elements of the history of the Christian church that can be said to have led to the contemporaneous English ecclesiastical arrangements.

In sum, the most revolutionary era ever in England, at least in terms of open hostilities and dramatic constitutional changes, can hardly be characterized as one exhibiting major turning points in culture. No quiet cultural revolution began in the midst of the noisy political revolutions. If culture was changing during this time, as it undoubtedly was, then the change would have been a product of the major turning points that had occurred before the political revolutions began. Based on our estimates, this era of political vicissitude is best characterized, on the cultural front, as a time when there was continuing gradual diffusion of a heterogeneous set of ideas.

## 6. Concluding remarks

Unlike violent overthrows of government and the ensuing changes in political order, quiet revolutions, such as the financial or scientific revolution, are not readily detected as they commence and unfold. Yet despite their non-cataclysmic character, quiet revolutions often involve or precede fundamental upheavals in the existing social order. Quiet revolutions are in this sense the embodiment of institutional and cultural change.

Our analysis revolutionizes the existing research on revolutions and English history along two key dimensions. Methodologically, we have offered a template for quantitatively identifying quiet revolutions by combining machine-learning techniques for analysis of text-as-data and time-series econometrics. The resultant approach could be fruitfully applied to study quiet revolutions, and more broadly the evolution of institutions and culture, beyond early-modern England. Moreover, the quantitative account of quiet revolutions that we have provided might offer some insights into the determinants of the much-discussed noisy revolutions in early-modern England. It has not escaped our attention that the quiet revolution of dissent that we have identified occurs in the immediate pre-Civil War era.

Substantively, our paper casts novel light on England's institutional and cultural change during the pivotal early-modern period. Our analysis of caselaw adds new quantitative precision to the timing and nature of the revolutions in caselaw on land law, finance, and the family. Many of the results emanating from this analysis suggest that proponents of institutional change as the cause of England's rise should look earlier than their usual late $17^{\text {th }}$ century dating. Our investigation of print culture reveals evidence of widespread cultural innovation during the Elizabethan era, earlier than emphasized by McCloskey (2016) and Mokyr (2016). In the Elizabethan era, we have found many elements of the 3 C 's, including increasing emphasis on calculation. At the same time, there is the rise of a millenialist theology, which imparted to adherents a focus on improving society: McCloskey (2016, p. 385) dates this cultural change as occurring in the 1640's. Contemporaneously, there appear ideas about decentralized church governance, encouraging notions that a hierarchical society can be challenged. Similarly, soon after the Elizabethan era, in
the early $17^{\text {th }}$ century, we see a sharp increase in Baconian Theology, an ingredient in the "project of reading God's nature from creation [which] was the framework for British thought generally for a century and a half" (McCloskey, 2016, p. 385): McCloskey dates the beginnings of this framework to 1680. The timing here also indicates some element of doubt concerning Mokyr's (2016) suggestion that Bacon provided a cultural mutation. ${ }^{33}$ Thus one central lesson from our paper is that, whether one thinks institutions or ideas were the ultimate determinant of England's rise, the verbal thermometers indicate much earlier change than has been asserted of late by economists.

Finally, it should be emphasized that our methodology is explorative and descriptive-it is not addressed at uncovering the causal determinants of these revolutions. Even so, for readers more interested in the causal, we have uncovered many fundamental changes in English law and culture that could stimulate further investigation. For example, what exactly provided the impetus for the sudden increase in the emphasis on skills (counting, classifying, cataloguing) in the Elizabethan period? Can the rise in the attention paid to skills during the Elizabethan era be causally linked to the surge in attention to Baconian Theology and Deductive Reasoning during the subsequent Stuart era? And did developments within caselaw and print culture proceed autonomously or, alternatively, can one find evidence of interrelated coevolutionary dynamics between institutions and culture? The study of the evolution and coevolution of institutions and culture in preindustrial England and beyond constitutes a fundamentally important but empirically under-researched area of inquiry.

[^18]
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## Appendix A: The estimated topics from the ER corpus

| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Actionable Defamation | word, action, speak, say, lie, innuendo, slander, libel, false, knave, thief, witch, whore, rascal, pox. Which specific words in a statement involving alleged slander or libel are actionable. |
| Arbitration \& Umpires | award, arbitr, umpir, submiss, umpirag, arbitra, attach, releas, perform. Use of arbitrators and umpires in dispute resolution. |
| Assumpsit | assumpsit, promis, indebitatus, consider, forbear, non, assum, indebt, pay, debt. When an assumpsit can be said to have taken place and whether an action of assumpsit is allowed. |
| Attorney- \& Solicitor-General | sir, henri, edward, knt, general, esq, solicitor, attorneygener. Actions involving the participation of Attorney-General or the Solicitor-General. |
| Bailment | carrier, hors, innkeep, game, postmast, pawn, play, guest, guinea, steal, meat, drink. Scenarios where A gains physical possession of B's property, usually for temporary safekeeping. |
| Bankruptcy | bankrupt, creditor, assigne, debt, bankruptci, assign. Instances of bankruptcy and its resolution, including assignment of the bankrupt's estate. |
| Bonds | bind, condit, oblig, debt, releas, perform, void, discharg, obligor, oblige, sureti, thing, forfeit, payment. Bonds, those with penalties to ensure debt payment or to ensure some other commitment or to respect the decisions of arbitrators. |
| Claims from Financial Instruments | pound, annuiti, hundr, cent, annum, thousand, valu, per, southsea, ayear, secur, stock, rate, dividend. Resolving monetary claims concerning bonds, stocks, dividends, mortgages, annuities. |
| Clarifying Legislative Acts | act, word, parliament, enact, legislatur, preambl, power, extend, vest, proviso, claus, intent, construct, express, mean, make. On legislative acts, their wording, the legislator's intention, and the act's relevance in a given context. |
| Coke-Style Reporting | roll, abr, coke, case, elizabeth, com. Coke's distinctive style of reporting (or the style of subsequent editors), especially with regard to the use of historical sources. |
| Coke-Style Procedural Rulings | case, justic, good, fol, coke, law, jame. A wide spectrum of substantive areas of law when Coke was Chief Justice of King's Bench, focusing on the procedural rules for pursuing cases in these areas. |
| Common-Land Disputes | common, cattle, land, replevin, avowri, distrain, avow, pastur, distress, damagefeas. Property disputes pertaining to common land and whether specific actions (replevin, avowry, distress damage feasant) are justified or not. |
| Competing Land Claims | land, tenant, demand, writ, fitzherbert, law, assiz, seiz. A has some sort of claim to land and B challenges it in some way, or there are dueling writs. |
| Contingency in Wills | conting, remaind, devis, son, limit, survivor, death, vest, executori. Disputes involving a range of different contingencies that arise in wills. |
| Contract Interpretation \& Validity | agreement, contract, bargain, mutual, write, agre,specif, sign, perform, execut, fraud. Interpretation of the meaning of a contract in a given setting. |
| Contrasting Cases \& Statutes | brook, case, king, land, law, good, yet, statut. Many substantive issues, but all include mention of past cases and existing statutes and contrast their implications. |
| Conveyancing by Fine | fine, levi, bar, proclam, year, five, case, land, conuse, right. A fine of lands as a method of conveyance involving a fictitious lawsuit, which had the advantage of making the conveyance appear in court records. |
| Correct Pleas | plead, plea, demurr, show, bar, travers, matter, issu. Pithy statements of when aspects of pleading, including demurrer and traverse, are allowed. |


| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Court Petitions | petit, commission, order, chancellor, committee, lunat, partner, partnership. Court petitions, often concerning bankruptcy cases or cases involving lunacy. |
| Daughters' Legacies | marriag, daughter, portion, father, settlement, consent, condition. The conditions under which a daughter is entitled to a trust or legacy, usually created by the father. |
| Decisional Logic | plantiff, defend, declar, appear, insist, billet, counsel. Outline of the facts, in-court interactions, and procedural steps surrounding and leading to a court decision. |
| Decisions After Conviction | indict, convict, offenc, pardon, feloni, treason, conspiraci, murder, peac. Issues that arise once a person has been convicted of a criminal offence (or when anticipating conviction), e.g. when the King can pardon. |
| Determining Damages \& Costs | cost, damage, tripl, recover, tax, expens. How damages and various litigation-related costs (including attorney fees) should be determined when plaintiff wins, and how taxes should be applied in that context. |
| Dignitaries | lord, earl, peer, duke, ladi, countess, duchess, honour, sequestr. Legal issues involving nobility and the corresponding procedural specifics. |
| Disentangling Heirs | son, father, daughter, die, heir, old, young, issu, shall, death, mother, brother, male, grandfath, without, life. Issues pertaining familial matters related to heredity. |
| Ecclesiastical Appointments | church, bishop, patron, patronag, adwovson, vicarage, benefic, quar, hinder, induct, clerk, usurp, collate. Church appointments and associated benefits. |
| Elizabethan Land Cases | elizabeth, case, queen, land. Descriptions of cases referring to Queen Elizabeth I or her reign in some capacity and involving primarily land-related matters. |
| Employment of Apprentices \& Servants | master, apprentic, servant, wage, employ, work, retain, discharg, salari. The rights and obligations of servants and apprentices, as well as of their masters. |
| Equitable Relief | chan, equiti, vern, abridg, decre, prec, rep, ibidem, abr, mich, vide, vin, trin, yellow, lord, talbot, case. Chancery Court decisions, often clarifying the scope of equity cases and the jurisdiction of equity courts. |
| Equitable Waste | wast, court, injunct, commit, tenant, restrain, impeach. Equity cases where the decision is an injunction or a restraint concerning waste on property, often involving tenants not subject to an action of waste. |
| Equity Appeals | appel, respond, say, order, decre, shall, caus, appeal, hear. Issues spanning the gamut of commercial activities of the time, all appeals to the House of Lords, from Equity Courts. |
| Equity Jurisdiction | court, chanceri, case, law, equiti, lord, keeper, reliev, chancellor. Focus on issues pertaining to whether the judges in Chancery will consider a case. |
| Estate Tail | male, heir, estatetail, estat, remaind, testat, bodi, tail, son. Legal issues pertaining to an estate tail, an estate limited to certain bodily heirs, and excluding others. |
| Evidence Gathering \& Admissibility | wit, evid, examin, oath, swear, deposit, proof, interrogatori, testimoni, trial, crossexamin, fact, admit. Ways of collecting evidence and what constitutes admissible evidence in a given situation. |
| Excluding Beneficiaries of Wills | alien, heir, inherit, shall, disabl, law, land, blood, descent, forfeit, ancestor, papist. Situations where the law prohibits a designated legatee from inheriting. |
| Execution \& Administration of Estates | executor, administr, testat, asset, executrix, administratrix, probat. Disputes and actions concerning the administrators or executors of estates. |
| Executable Purchase Agreements | purchas, sell, sale, buy, convey, notic, know, fraud, fraudul, obtain, deed, reliev, asid, conceal, affect. Purchases and sales, that is, contractual transfers of property rights. |
| Geographic Jurisdiction of Laws | england, ireland, scotland, wale, kingdom, hundr. The applicability of English laws in cases involving subjects from other jurisdictions or the applicability of local (e.g. county) rules in other localities. |


| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Geographic Settlement of the Poor | parish, settlement, child, bastard, pauper, inhabit, father, mother, settl, remov. Determining geographic settlement of persons, typically of children from poor families or born out of wedlock. |
| Governance of Private Organizations | colleg, visitor, power, bishop, univers, fellow, hospit, visit, shall, scholar, give, corpor. Governance matters in private organizations, such as the rights and duties of decision-makers. |
| Habeas Corpus | bail, prison, habea, corpus, escap, custodi, gaol, gaoler, discharg, capia, remand. When a person is detained or discharged from prison, often in the context of a bail, with habeas corpus providing the focus or the background. |
| Identifying Contractual Breach | breach, coven, perform, nonpay, evict, break. Ascertaining and clarifying whether breach of contract has occurred in a given situation. |
| Implementing Ambiguous Wills | legaci, testat, legate, codicil, devis, executor, residuari, testatrix, bequest, surplus, estat. Implementation of wills where it is not clear what was willed or exact implementation is impossible. |
| Implementing Trusts | truste, trust, estat, chariti, profit, decre, convey, charit, beneficiari. The implementation as opposed to design of trusts, and the rules to determine what is legal in implementation. |
| Indicting for Murder | inform, kill, murder, manslaught, malic, prison, prepens, provoc, cudgel, stab, assaul. Conditions that need to be fulfilled to indict for murder, often emphasizing the distinction between murder and manslaughter. |
| Inferior-Court Jurisdiction | jurisdict, certiorari, counti, record, plaint, inferior, leet, amerc, court, palatin, procedendo, amercia, remo. Clarifying court jurisdiction, especially for inferior courts. |
| Intestacy | child, share, sister, distribut, intest, estat, moieti, grandchild. Complications arising in the accounting for and the distribution of the intestates' estates. |
| Jury Procedures \& Trials | verdict, trial, juri, venir, issu, tri, find, juror, challeng, prius, assiz, nisi, distringa, new, panel, mistrial, tale. Proper use of jury procedures and aspects of jury trials. |
| Keble-Style Reporting | kebl, per, case, curian, contra, car, agre. Emphases in reports produced by or reports that refer to reports by Joseph Keble, a prominent case reporter. |
| Length \& Expiry of Leases | leas, lesse, demis, year, lessor, renew, occup, life, habendum, indentur, surrend, twentyon, revers. Determining the length and expiry of leases. |
| Local Administrative Appointments | office, constabl, clerk, appoint, deputi, custo, appoint, power, grant, clerk, peac, duti, oath, nomin. Local administrative appointments such as those of clerks for justices of the peace and of constables. |
| Manorial Tenures | manor, copyhold, surrend, lord, custom, tenant, land, hold, estat, parcel, freehold. Land ownership in manorial arrangements. |
| Marriage Settlement | settlement, marriag, jointur, articl, remaind, estat, portion, wife, truste, life. Design and interpretation of arrangements whereby a trust of land or other assets was established jointly by the parents of a husband and wife. |
| Minors \& Guardians | infant, age, guardian, minor, guardianship, infanc, prochein, wardship. Issues pertaining to minors and their guardians. |
| Mistakes in Court Records | amend, varianc, record, orig, mistak, misnom, erratum, misrecit, mispris, omiss, defect, materi, omiss. Mistakes in various court records and documents, and ways of correcting them |
| Modern-Style Reporting | modern, holt, mod, cro, salk, salkeld, keb, lev. Emphases in the cases from Modern Reports or in reports that refer to Modern Reports and have the same style. |
| Mortgages | mortgag, mortgage, mortgagor, redempt, redeem, foreclosur, equiti, encumbr, encumbranc, interest, foreclos. Rights and obligations of mortgagors, mortgagees, and impacted parties. |
| Motions | affidavit, court, rule, motion, move, proceed, venu. Motions, e.g., to change venue, enlarge a rule, set aside judgment, stay proceedings, attachment against a person. |


| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Multiparty Cases | john, mari, elizabeth, say, jame, saint, date, premis, june, wilson, ann, samuel, georg, joseph, philip. Issues in cases that involve many parties, and thus use many names. |
| Municipal Charters | elect, mandamus, corpor, mayor, charter, borough, alderman. Clarifying aspects of election, who can and cannot hold specific office given a municipal charter, and other aspects of workings of municipal governments. |
| Negotiable Bills \& Notes | bill, note, accept, endorse, promissory, merchant, exchange, endors. Usage of bills of exchange and promissory notes, especially with regard to their transferability from one person to another with or without endorsement. |
| Non-Translated Latin | predict, pillag, quod, prd, johann, domini, svan, men, adtunc. Residual topic, absorbing Latin that has not been translated during text processing. |
| Nuisance | hous, repair, build, way, mill, erect, use, nuisanc. The duties and rights connected with structures that are either helping or interfering with the passage of people, water, and light. |
| Ownership of War Bounty | ship, insur, cargo, admiralti, voyag, fraught, port, polici, captur, sail. War-related issues of ship and cargo ownership, arising in Admiralty Court. |
| Pleadings on Debt | aforesaid, wit, counti, premis, verifi, attorney, plea, obligatori, behalf. The various pleadings to which creditor and debtor have access, especially focusing on the bill and the counter pleading. |
| Possession \& Title | possess, titl, seiz, right, eject, enter, claim, entri, tenant, law. Issues concerning possession and title, including whether and when possession conveys title. |
| Precedent | case, reason, though, law, opinion, therefor, think, object, question, differ, court, cite. Justifying what the caselaw is while appealing to (some notion of) the authority of precedent. |
| Prioritizing Claims | estat, debt, person, shall, payment, creditor, asset, real, charg, liabl, sell. Who should be paid when claims exceed available funds. |
| Procedural Bills | decre, bill, discoveri, dismiss, injunct, review, chancellor, rehear. Bills (here, complaints to a court) that identify a problem with a procedural issue. |
| Procedural Rulings on Actions | action, bring, lie, debt, case, sue, recov, recoveri, remedi, debet. Whether various forms of legal action (e.g., action of debt or action on the case) have satisfied procedural requirements |
| Procedural Rulings on Writs | sheriff, writ, return, capia, facia, process, execut, testa, latitat, supersedea, elegit, summon. The technicalities of whether writs are legal and have been processed legally. |
| Prohibiting Jurisdiction | prohibit, spiritu, jurisdict, ecclesiast, court, admiralti, probat. Prohibiting jurisdiction, often with reference to the ruling of the King's Bench. |
| Publishing \& Copyright | print, printer, copyright, publish, book, right, copi, lord, kenyon, see, mansfield, author, blackston, also, principl, fact, may, mere, foster, clear. Publishing, printing, and copyright related issues. |
| Regulating Commerce | custom, london, citi, duti, prisag, wine, merchant, freeman, citizen, commonalti, communiti, measure, import. Violation of rules and customs related to the importing, producing, and selling of merchandise. |
| Rendering Judgment | judgement, attorney, banc, postea. The court's judgment itself or procedural circumstances pertinent to the rendering of the judgment. |
| Rental Payments | rend, reserv, land, year, arrear, pay, demand, tenant, due, render. Whether rental payments were paid in an amount and at a time as agreed. |
| Repaying Debt | payment, interest, due, repay, discharge, indebt, lend. The details of paying back a sum of money that is owed, often with a focus on interest and often via complex transactions. |
| Restraints on Trade | trade, compani, use, sell, bylaw, licenc, make, buy, may, penalti. Whether private entities can have a right to restrain trade in some way and then whether localities can pass by-laws to enforce such restraints. |


| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Reviewing Local Orders | quash, session, churchwarden, overs, certiorari, order, poor, rate, justic. Judicial review of local orders, especially of Justices of the Peace at the quarter sessions. |
| Revocation | deed, revoc, revok, will, codicil, power, attest, credibl. Ascertaining if revocation of a given legal instrument (e.g., a deed or a will) is possible and which aspects of the legal instrument hold. |
| Rights of Married Women | wife, husband, dower, marriage, feme, covert, covertur, surviv, die, death, divorc. The legal rights of married women, e.g., when the husband passes away, after divorce, upon adultery, or in re-marrying. |
| Rights of Public Office | parliament, privileg, member, baron, bench, lord, hous, judg, arrest. The rights of important office holders (such as members of parliament, serjeants who become judges, clerks as court officers). |
| Royal Patents \& Tenures | patent, king, grant, crown, queen, prerog, patente, duchi, charter, letter, letterspat, land, attaind, inquisit. When patents (here, instances where the monarch gave a right or appointment) hold. |
| Rulings on the Calendar | term, day, time, year, first, last, within, six, continu, second, month, next. Rulings pertaining to timing issues, often on procedural grounds. |
| Self-Help in Real-Property Disputes | appurten, tenement, acr, manor, pastur, demesn, premis, seiz, damag, trespass. Scenarios where A has done something to B involving property and B takes revenge in some way, typically involving property. |
| Shared \& Divided Property Rights | one, two, three, sever, whole, joint, common, tenanc, moieti, divid, undivid, survivor, residu, partit. Resolving situations where property rights are divided, or jointly held, by multiple parties. |
| Specifying Inherited Property Rights | devis, land, devisor, will, heir, estat, word, claus, inherit, pass, bequeath, give, chattel. Testamentary disposition of property rights, especially in land, but also other property. |
| Statute Applicability | statut, within, commonlaw, law, cap, case, extend, extent, limit, word, void, say. Ascertaining and clarifying whether a particular statute applies to a specific case, often clarifying how it interacts with the common law. |
| Temporal \& Spiritual Jurisdiction | canon, marriag, prohibit, ecclesiast, convoc, levit, laiti, church, spiritu, licenc, offenc, clergi, divorc, punish. The limits of the jurisdiction of spiritual courts. |
| Timing of Property Rights | estat, remaind, tail, entail, estatetail, fee, tenant, life, revers, inherit, heir. Land and estate rights, clarifying which party has what rights when. |
| Tithes | tith, vicar, parish, titheabl, pay, modus. Determining tithes, clarifying exceptions from having to pay tithes, and specifying who is entitled to proceeds from tithes. |
| Transfer of Ownership Rights | feoff, grant, lesse, lessor, liveri, seisin, seiz, reenter, releas, revers, reentri, disseize, bargain. Ownership rights in situations where property rights are transferred from one party to another. |
| Tree Law | wood, cut, tree, outlawri, take, shall, say, gro except, tree, outlawri, cut, wood, outlaw, timber, wast, oak, lop, grow, underwood, timbertre, estov, coppic, morgan. Issues pertaining to trees, timber, wood, underwood. |
| Trespass to Goods | trespass, take, close, enter, enclos, assault, fish, arrest, guilti, damage, justif. Whether trespass occurred and if the plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result, where personal property was the item in question. |
| Uses | use, heir, feoff, seiz, land, shall, estat, fee, make, convey. Issues pertaining to uses (in real property law, duties of a person to carry out the purposes that are the conditions of property conveyance). |
| Validity of Wills | deceas, will, depon, lee, say, make, william, give, wit, believ, know, see, come, prove, tell, hear. Issues addressed in the probate court pertaining to validity of wills. |
| Vesey Footnotes | ves, atk, wms, reg, vernon, ambler, amb, bro, lib, versus, fol, vide, vern, vol, ibidem, case, sup, post, sch. References to points made by Vesey, a prominent case reporter, in his reports. |
| Vesey-Style Reporting | vesey, senior, ves, supplement, eden, sen, equiti, atk, estat, fund, mrs, interest, consid, repres, sincha, court. Emphases in reports produced by or reports that refer to Francis Vesey, Sr., a prominent case reporter. |


| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Writs of Error | judgement, error, writ, revers, erratum, erron, supersedea. The procedures that must be followed for addressing <br> various errors of fact or law, often with reference to other (e.g., inferior) courts. |
| Wrongful Possession | good, trover, properti, sale, sell, take, convers, convert, deliv, detinu, chattel, owner, bailment, deliv. When ownership <br> of goods, often obtained in possession via wrongful taking or purchasing of stolen goods, is ambiguous. |
| Notes: The table lists the names, a sampling of keywords (top or distinctive), and brief descriptions of the topics estimated in the ER corpus. For a detailed description <br> and justification of topic names, including quotes from top documents featuring a given topic most prominently, see Grajzl and Murrell (2021a: Appendix E). |  |

## Appendix B: The estimated topics from the TCP corpus

| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Africa \& Asia | king, citi, place, countri, peopl, river, provinc, kingdom, picarro, mandarin, cozco, china, portugues, aurengzeb, ispahan. Descriptions of the peoples, places, objects, terrain, customs, religions, languages of Africa and Asia. |
| Allaying Christian Doubt | christ, god, soul, heart, grace, sin, love, spirit, jesus, faith, comfort, spiritu, sinner, righteous. Giving hope to those who might feel they have sinned or are in doubt about their beliefs. |
| Allegiance \& Resistance | king, god, power, law, oath, subject, princ, kingdom, govern, swear, magistr, peopl, allegi, obedi, coven, resist. Expressions of allegiance or of resistance to those in power. |
| Analyzing Ancient Thought | poet, plutarch, cap, plini, plato, hist, plut, greek, herodotus, aristotl, philosoph, jupit, laert, homer, diodorus. Many different cultures are reflected in the topic but all before 500 CE , and analysis of the relevant texts is central. |
| Anatomy | muscl, membran, caviti, ventricl, arteri, tendon, vertebra, glandul, intercost, peritonaeum, nerv, cartileg, vertebr. Anatomical knowledge. |
| Apocalyptic Theology | antichrist, christ, rev, jew, propheci, messiah, prophet, kingdom, babylon, church, beast. Apocalytpic theology, with references to existing theology relevant to the apocalypse that will accompany the second coming. |
| Art Catalogs | loreto, landscap, paint, gold, pictur, medal, marbl, beauti, statu, figur, fruitpiec, seapiec, portico, hous, architecture. Listings of works of art, often in connection with auctions. |
| Asserting Parliamentary Powers | parliament, committe, armi, lilburn, cromwel, vote, kingdom, fairfax, hous, militia, strafford, commission, scotland, england. Claiming, using, exerting Parliamentary powers. |
| Astronomy \& Astrology | moon, sun, star, sign, year, planet, mark, saturn, jupit, astrolog, mercuri, conjunct, ascend, eclips. Astronomy and astrology treated separately, but more often together. |
| Attacking False Doctrine | quaker, christ, god, spirit, scriptur, jesus, apostl, righteous, light, truth, doctrin, antichrist, prophet, persecut, conscience. Expression of dislike, even venom or hatred, for the beliefs of others. |
| Authority Relationships | say, shall, unto, answer, come, accord, manner, command, declar, demand. How individuals of different statuses in society do and should interact, including the issuing of commands by the person with a higher status. |
| Autonomous Church Governance | church, minist, offic, ordin, power, call, pastor, preach. How to organize churches that are seeking independence from the national system of church government. |
| Baconian Theology | upon, yet, though, will, thus, find, mean, inde, speak. Views from a wide variety of religious perspectives, involving logical arguments and emphasizing learning from facts, biblical, historical, or personal, using induction applied to experience, or 'experiment'. |
| Biblical History | israel, judah, lord, david, son, god, jerusalem, mose, saul, king, jew, peopl, egypt, philistin, priest, abraham, father. Bibles, extracts thereof, or summaries thereof treated as plain history without commentary. |
| Bibliographical Practice | book, write, learn, letter, print, year, author, read, publish, translat, latin, colleg, school. Bibliography together with the techniques of bibliography. |
| Botany | dioscorid, bauhinus, lobel, clusius, flower, footestalk, tabermontanus, stalk, matthiolus, latifolia, purplish, seed. Study of plants from a scientific perspective. |
| British Isles History | king, britain, earl, realm, saxon, scotlond, pict, england, scot, archbishop, bishop, cnute, slay, normandi, Scotland. History of British Isles. |
| Catechismal Compilations | christ, god, jesus, apostl, cor, luk, eph, sin, john, isa, father, rev, psalm, salvat, faith, gospel. Works in the style of catechisms, in the sense of summaries, questions-and-answers, and lists. |
| Catholics Under Protestant Rule | page, answer, pag, book, word, ibidem, sect, author, jesuit, reader, affirm, opinion, prove, point, doctrine. Reactions of Protestants to the Catholics living under Protestant rule, and the corresponding views of Catholics. |


| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chemistry | water, salt, spirit, fire, vitriol, acid, alkali, menstruum, antimoni, cucurbit, sulphur, volatile. The science of chemistry, not chemistry for application in practical activities. |
| Chivalric Literature | knight, king, sir, lord, good, ladi, duke, arm, emperor, hors, castl, fair, sancho. Works of literature dedicated to telling the stories of heroic knights, often laced with romance. |
| Chorography | countri, citi, sea, river, island, mile, inhabit, mountain, provinc, town, africa, region. Descriptions of places, where subject matter is determined solely by location and the substance can be about anything in that location. |
| Christian Mental Exercises | good, life, self, soul, grace, heart, sin, heaven, perfect, true, faith, virtu. Instructions and examples of how to live a good Christian life and the temptations that might arise in such a life. |
| Christian Mysticism | god, devil, spirit, heaven, angel, adam, creatur, soul, etern, christ, satan, witch. Christian mysticism (i.e. the sense of contact or inner experience with God). |
| Comedy, Satire, Epigrams | quoth, epigram, pharicl, phylautus, euphu, philaleth, fool, play, tarlton, wench. Works of literature to provide entertainment, but often social commentary as well. |
| Commercial Aids | pound, money, merchant, shill, silver, penni, weight, coin, gold, exchang, pound, shill. Printed tables containing the results of calculations for commercial purposes. |
| Constitutional Rules | king, parliament, law, statut, england, act. The caselaw and statutes concerning the rights and duties of the highestlevel actors in the nation, as well as the structure of the institutions within which these actors function. |
| Continental International Relations | duke, holland, elector, sweden, french, swede, princ, imperialist, spaniard, treati, swedish, netherland, orang. Relationships among the major powers of Europe, excluding England. |
| Cookery | take, put, make, boil, water, littl, let, lie, togeth, white, half, sugar, egg, beat, pound, butter, wine, salt, fire, fine. Preparing and cooking food. |
| Counter-Reformation Scholarship | church, faith, scriptur, cathol, true, doctrin, apostl, pope, rome, heret, believ, truth. Scholarly discussion of counterreformation tracts, usually not the tracts themselves, but the reactions and counter-reactions to them. |
| Deductive Reasoning | reason, power, perfect, act, object, good, soul, must, self, knowledg, true, yet, understand, truth, caus. Reasoning about any substantial matter using logical (not empirical) techniques and the rules and methods of such techniques. |
| Deductive Theology | unto, also, thereof, thing, mean, therefor, even, caus, whereof, neither, whereby. The use of logical arguments, or at least the vocabulary of logic, to make theological arguments. |
| Defending Monarchs | great, time, matter, caus, countri, common, princ, counsel. Statements supporting the monarch's position, sometimes by monarchs themselves, when facing rebellious situations. |
| Diet \& Health | drink, good, meat, eat, wine, sick, health, diseas, stomach, water, physician. The links between diet and health from a perspective that includes the science of the day. |
| Dignitary Law | king, earl, son, henri, edward, knight, daughter, heir, william, land, lord, wife, manor. Features of law relating to the highest ranks of England's subjects, except for the royal family itself. |
| Diseases \& Cures | blood, diseas, caus, spirit, fever, cure, medicin, humour, bodi, reason, salt, distemp. Manuals of the symptoms, causes, and cures of all types of illnesses. |
| Dissent, Schism, \& Toleration | church, communion, liturgi, worship, schism, doctrin, prayer, nonconformist, ceremoni, reform, cathol. How to treat people who are unwilling to conform to the establishment religion and its practices. |
| Early Literature, Secular | king, great, greek, troy, son, heart, right, trojan, slay, love, high, eke, hercul, achill. Non-religious history, highlighting a use of language characteristic of the very earliest documents in the corpus. |
| Early Literature, Spiritual | shall, man, say, may, good, god, great, nat, love, right, manner, gyve, soon, full, mani, wise, deed. Spiritual matters, illuminating and a use of language characteristic of the very earliest documents in the corpus. |
| Ecclesiastical History | bishop, saint, church, council, emperor, christian, letter, eusebius, father, rome, ancient, greek, constantinopl. The history of those elements of the Christian church that led to the current English ecclestiastical arrangements. |


| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Economic Lobbying | trade, money, pay, poor, good, make, nation, england, land, countri, peopl, interest. Petitions to Parliament or government or general proposals made by some economic interest in pursuit of their own gains. |
| Emotional Relationships | love, eye, heart, fair, sweet, beauti, die, must, joy, soul, heaven, life. Expressions of emotions about the relationships between small numbers of people, usually two. |
| Equine Learning | hors, cure, hand, leg, foot, turpentin, pastern, hogsgreas, farrier, farcin, leg. Techniques for riding and caring for horses. |
| Establishing Correct Doctrine | god, christ, man, word, faith, holi, paul, law, sin, true, good, gospel, teach. Stating the doctrine that the author considers as capturing religious truth, without much theological or historical justification. |
| Expressing Loving \& Loathing | thou, shall, art, will, self, let, heart, know, unto, give, say, may, thing, take, see, word, come, lie, make, son, lord. Love or hate of people, countries, cities, historical figures, etc. |
| Family Matters | child, wife, woman, father, husband, marriag, son, marri, parent, mother, daughter, brother, famili, sister. Law and norms concerning how members of families should interact with each other. |
| Fearing Catholicism | protest, england, papist, church, popish, poperi, jesuit. The laying out of the theological and political dangers or other religions, particularly Catholicism. |
| French \& Iberian History | monsieur, duke, king, castill, navarr, franc, sieur, cardin, huguenot, cathol, portug, princ, mazarin. French and Iberian History. |
| French Romance | love, self, tell, madam, princ, princess, great, time, yet, without, day, madam, aronc, sylvand, philoxyp. Romance literature written in the style of contemporary French romance novels, often simply translations of such novels. |
| Hierarchical Church Governance | bishop, church, pope, council, priest, rome, canon, power, ecclesiast, clergi, law, archbishop, jurisdict, tith, excommu. Discussions of varieties of topics all connected to the governance of integrated systems of churches. |
| High Crimes | lord, wit, gentleman, king, evid, swear, inform, prison, juri, guilti, trial, examin, ask, depon, cellier, dugdal. References to criminal acts that involve the highest-ranked people in the country. |
| Historical Romance | self, love, princ, desir, ladi, life, fortun, death, knight, king, polexand, olivair, zelman. Romance, to be interpreted as not only about love but also invoking a broader set of emotions, sparked by heroic and chivalrous adventures. |
| Holy Days | day, sabbath, law, worship, time, observ, rest, keep, ceremoni, week, fast, feast. The origins and justifications of the holy days, focusing on the sabbath and on which day of the week it should be celebrated. |
| Holy Lives | saint, holi, god, christ, father, virgin, jesus, mother, miracl, mari. The lives and deeds of religious people, particularly the saints, focusing on their works and miracles. |
| Improving Soil \& Its Products | tree, fruit, plant, ground, grow, root, earth, sow, water, seed, corn, cut, land, garden. Agronomy, with arboreal, arable, and horticultural farming and gardening. |
| Industrial Arts | make, foot, piec, part, inch, water, side, end, half, length, work, mark, height, set, long, draw, weight. The manual and technical skills required to work with tools, designs, and simple calculations to make usable objects. |
| Jokes \& Mishaps | friend, hous, night, money, poor, gentleman, wife, hear, master, siuqila, woman, devil, bed. Tall tales, jokes, reallife horror, unfortunate happenings, all in the service of entertaining the reader with light fare. |
| Compilations of Laws | king, per, quod, year, cum, pro, fol, england, royal, ibidem, non, speech, cap, domini, power, writ, pillag. General collections of statutes and caselaw, often in user-friendly forms and addressed to a highly specific legal issue. |
| Lawfulness | law, punish, justic, conscienc, judg, magistr, condemn, accus, case, command, judgement. Whether actions of governments or individuals are lawful, including with respect to higher principles of justice and conscience. |
| Legal Practice Aids | heir, assign, land, executor, grant, premis, law, administr, rend, pay, tenement, coven. Information for those who want to practice law at the lower levels of the profession, producing wills, contracts, conveyance documents, etc. |
| Listing City Facts | bishop, london, church, saint, archbishop, place, colleg, canterburi, citi, build, parish, oxford, archbishop, dean, sheriff, cathedr, westminst, saint, durham, lincoln, mayor, chancellor. Enumeration of facts about cities. |


| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Local Law | justic, peac, parish, offic, counti, statut, offenc, constabl, hous, prison, commit, feloni, session, sheriff. Statements of law relevant to the lowest levels of administration. |
| Lusty Entertainments | cuckold, prithe, damnd, mrs, devil, whig, fop, cheat, fool, rogu, whore, jilt, ladi, tom, nonsens, pimp, madam. Entertainment that is lusty in two senses of the word: lustful and rousing. |
| Mathematics | parallelogram, triangl, quotient, angl, line, divisor, rectangl, icosahedron, perpendicular, diamet, segment. Mathematical techniques and concepts. |
| Medical Science | cure, wound, caus, medicin, patient, pain, humour, diseas, blood, ulcer, bodi, bone, natur. Practice-oriented knowledge relevant to the treatment of all illnesses, injuries, etc. |
| Military Campaigns | hors, take, enemi, march, armi, forc, captain, colonel, command, general, soldier, garrison, regiment. Accounts of military campaigns with little or no discussion of the political context in which they are pursued. |
| Military Training | soldier, enemi, place, armi, leav, arm, battl, command, right, fight, captain, march, war, hand, order, rank, foot. The training pertinent to the acquisition of skills needed for lower-level troops, not of their leaders in strategy. |
| Monarchs' Lives | king, queen, england, franc, scotland, henri, edward, york, lancast, crown, spain, parliament, Elizabeth. Retellings of the lives of a variety of monarchs, principally, but not only, English ones. |
| Moral Philosophy: Interests | design, oblig, sentiment, engag, interest, person, intrigu, reflect, monsieur, believ, consider. Precepts on and about behavior, mainly in politics, and focusing on the importance of interests in understanding why behaviors occurred. |
| Moral Philosophy: Passions | affect, passion, action, rather, pride, vaniti, humour, natur, self, conceit, moral, fanci, ambit. Moral injunctions on the passions, how the passions affect behaviors, and how the passions can be controlled. |
| Moral Philosophy: Virtues | man, virtu, rich, good, wisdom, philosoph, wise, life, evil, mind, fortun, great, virtuous, vice, socrat, learn. What a virtuous life is, how to live it, and what are the opposites of virtuousness. |
| Names Listed | john, william, thoma, richard, robert, esquir, georg, edward, henri, jame, lord, knight, mayor, franci. The use of common names, often in the form of lists and often containing titles. |
| Natural Philosophy | natur, bodi, soul, heat, motion, thing, sensit, spirit, aristotl, generat, moistur, sens, air, element. All manner of scientific inquiries, using the language of very early science. |
| Non-Translated Latin | non, quod, cum, hoc, autem, etian, deus, ergo, ecclesia. Residual topic, absorbing Latin that has not been translated during text processing. |
| Not English | ing, con, eth, redg, livr, par, ion, sse, leur, vous, foe, cion, tout, pro, uva, aith. Residual topic, absorbing the elements of documents that have foreign, not-Latin words and English misspellings and badly OCR'ed words. |
| Obloquy \& Encomium | great, honour, blood, princ, king, high, god, happi, glori, heaven, life, nobl, crown, divin, glorious, death, sacr, enemi. Public statements of praise and condemnation made in epitaphs, funeral elegies, speeches, etc. |
| Official Prayer | lord, god, prayer, pray, bless, father, holi, prais, hear, jesus, thank, servant, help, amen, Prayers issued by the authorities, to mark particular occasions, especially to give thanksgiving for particular events. |
| Old Testament | ver, word, chap, mose, hebrew, jew, king, peopl, sacrific, exodus, vers, translat, law, Israel. Commentaries on and excerpts from various parts of the Hebrew Bible or the Protestant, Catholic, or Orthodox Old Testaments. |
| Ordinances | commission, shall, aforesaid, person, pay, enact, offic, counti, act, assess, deputi, excis, citi, committe, admiralty. The topic captures the style in which ordinances are written, using a language of authority. |
| Papacy | pope, emperor, rome, cardin, franc, itali, empir, church, germani, john, bishop, charl. Information about popes and the papacy, and sometimes the history that surrounds popes and the papacy. |
| Parliamentary \& Court Procedure | lord, hous, court, lordship, bill, order, read, parliament, common, answer, petit, proceed, committee. Procedural rules in the courts and parliament. |
| Petitions, Protests, \& Proposals | peac, engag, desir, interest, secur, public, resolut, hope, expect, design, endeavour, propos, resolv, concern, parti. Entreaties by some to others and the answers in reply to those entreaties. |


| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pharmacology | ounc, dram, decoct, syrup, bugloss, turpentin, betoni, mucilag, powder, oil, marshmallow, fennel. Chemical and physical rememedies for the curing of diseases. |
| Physics | bodi, water, air, earth, motion, observ, experi, natur, appear, suppos, caus, section, atmospher, corpuscl, hypothesi, cylind, phenomenon, air, particl. Physics. |
| Poetic Laments | deer, heart, woe, foe, mind, grief, love, nought, deed, fear, grace, thrall, joy, hate, wretch. Poetic, passionate expressions of sorrow and grief. |
| Political Poems | now, heaven, thus, sea, shall, arm, lie, hand, bear, stand, see, like, fli, god, fall, make, fire. Poems reporting on contemporary or ancient events with great relevance for politics. |
| Political Uses of Religion | god, lord, peopl, psalm, wick, sin, judgement, fear, righteous, job, evil, enemi, afflict, israel. Highly judgmental views on politicians from a religious stance, using the Bible, particularly the Old Testament. |
| Practical Geometry | degre, sun, point, line, find, place, hour, meridian, latitud, circl, pole, distanc, declin, north, star, horizon, south. Geometrical principles used for practical purposes such as telling time, navigation, producing surveys, etc. |
| Practicing Christianity | god, man, sin, good, word, see, conscienc, duti, true, Christian. Instructions on how to be a good Christian, from the perspective of musings about the temptations that might arise and how to ward them off. |
| Reasonable Religious Discourse | say, word, may, author, scriptur, reason, believ, prove, answer, argument, true, suppos, think, express. Religious debate that is sensible, wise, fair, as well as rational ( $17^{\text {th }}$-century meaning of reasonable). |
| Religious Love \& Hope | heaven, lord, glori, soul, bless, joy, love, heart, saint, holi, light, psalm, prais. Expressions of optimism and of good feeling towards all peoples (presumably just English), especially using songs and poems, using a religious tone. |
| Republicanism | govern, peopl, commonwealth, princ, law, monarchi, nation, state, power, civil, kingdom, magistr, empir, elec. Republicanism, with the use of the corresponding ideas can either negative or positive. |
| Romance \& Comedy Drama | enter, love, ladi, good, madam, lord, exit, self, gentleman, speak. Romance and comedy, usually in the form of plays, but not necessarily. |
| Roman History | roman, consul, caesar, pompey, war, armi, aetolian, senat, citi, athenian, hannib, rome, scipio, carthaginian, antigonus. History of Rome and Romans. |
| Royal Proclamations | majesti, kingdom, subject, proclam, ireland, royal, realm, religion, gracious, king, cathol, command. The style in which proclamations on any subject were made by the monarch, even if not actually made by the monarch. |
| Rural Recreations | bear, colour, hawk, head, black, bird, feather, fish, wing, gule, red. The types of leisure activities that a gentleman might undertake, especially one in the countryside. |
| Salvation via Faith | faith, coven, grace, believ, baptism, justifi, law, elect. Salvation will be attained by following appropriate religious rules and carrying out ordained religious practices. |
| Salvation via Virtue | religion, christian, duti, apostl, principl, improv, righteous, temptat, sincer, practice. Modes of behavior and living, not necessarily religious, that will make the reader prepared when the reader comes before God. |
| Scholarly Learning Guides | first, second, three, word, two, one, part, place, note, rule, chapter, everi, answer, vers, exampl, follow. Guides to the skills and knowledge needed to carry out activities that are more scholarly than practical in nature. |
| Scottish Law | annualr, infeft, decreet, teind, lifer, wodset, tailzi, poind, absolvitor, warrandic, laird, wodsett, appriz, appris, liferent, pannal, testat, creditor, donatar, legatari, section, spuilzi. Scottish law. |
| Scottish Political Acts | edinburgh, kirk, presbyteri, scotland, parliament, montros, wallac, commission, bothwel, laird, assembl, Glasgow. Measures passed at the highest levels of Scottish political institutions. |
| Self-Reflection | will, shall, must, think, know, see, speak, tell, self, good, believ, world, sure, enough. Dialogues with oneself about fundamental questions that define a person. |
| Sin, Damnation, \& Repentance | sin, sinner, death, soul, hell, repent, heaven, merci, sorrow, die, etern, miseri, torment, devil, forgiv, wrath, damnat. Admonishments and warnings on the wages of sin, and the hopes that can be held if a good life is lived. |


| Topic | Keywords and brief description |
| :--- | :--- |
| Student \& Practitioner Law | case, land, plaintiff, action, defend, court, writ, statut, tenant, judgement, law, seiz, heir, plead. Intermediate-level <br> law, not intended for clerks or lawyers handling the simplest cases or those developing law at the highest level. |
| Transubstantiation | sacrament, christ, bread, eucharist, sacrific, blood, bodi, transubstanti, supper. Arguments for and against the <br> doctrine of transubstantiation. |
| Turkish International Politics | vizier, turk, bassa, venetian, suleman, mustapha, sultan, bajazet, scanderbag. Histories and contemporary <br> descriptions of the interaction of the Turks with other nations, including wars, peace, and diplomatic initiatives. |
| Using Numbers | year, hundr, thousand, three, four, twenti, six, five, two, month, eight, seven, ten, thirti, pound, forti, one, twelv. <br> The use of numbers in varied substantive areas, often in the form of tables. |
| Voyages | ship, indian, island, leagu, sail, captain, spaniard, aboard, sea, panama, coast, southeast, fathom. Descriptions of <br> voyages plus also information about how to make the yoyages, that is, using navigation charts, etc. |
| Western Europe Surveyed | counti, river, town, fenground, mile, british, britain, saxon, furlong, south, shire, island, north, scray, west, east. <br> Descriptions of places in England or near England, focusing especially on landscapes and geographical situation. |
| Zoology | beast, plini, serpent, dog, water, bird, wolf, fox, lion, tooth, hair, fab, fish, skin, worm, fli, crocodil, creatur. <br> Creatures of the world often from a scientific perspective. |

Notes: The table lists the names, a sampling of keywords (top or distinctive), and brief descriptions of the topics estimated in the TCP corpus. For a detailed description and justification of topic names, including quotes from top documents featuring a given topic most prominently, see Grajzl and Murrell (2023c: Appendix B).
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## Appendix E

Table E1: Breaks in caselaw series, 1552-1764

| Series (topic) | Break 1 |  | Break 2 |  | Break 3 |  | Break 4 |  | Break 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actionable Defamation | 1656 | down | 1616 | up | 1585 | up | 1715 | down |  |
| Arbitration \& Umpires | 1705 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assumpsit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attorney- \& Solicitor-General | 1593 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bailment | 1660 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bankruptcy | 1713 | up | 1680 | up | 1589 | up |  |  |  |
| Bonds | 1716 | down | 1583 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claims from Financial Instruments | 1673 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clarifying Legislative Acts | 1657 | up | 1616 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coke-Style Reporting | 1641 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coke-Style Procedural Rulings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common-Land Disputes | 1699 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Competing Land Claims | 1583 | down | 1619 | down | 1676 | down | 1707 | down |  |
| Contingency in Wills | 1659 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contract Interpretation \& Validity | 1643 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contrasting Cases \& Statutes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conveyancing by Fine | 1691 | down | 1722 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Correct Pleas | 1706 | down | 1617 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Court Petitions | 1734 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daughters' Legacies | 1706 | up | 1672 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decisional Logic | 1616 | up | 1692 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Decisions After Conviction | 1710 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Determining Damages \& Costs | 1612 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dignitaries | 1677 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disentangling Heirs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ecclesiastical Appointments | 1635 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elizabethan Land Cases | 1606 | down | 1642 | down | 1682 | down |  |  |  |
| Employment of Apprentices \& Servants | 1687 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equitable Relief | 1680 | up | 1734 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equitable Waste |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity Appeals | 1698 | up | 1729 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity Jurisdiction | 1629 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estate Tail | 1657 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Evidence Gathering \& Admissibility | 1693 | up | 1729 | up | 1652 | up |  |  |  |
| Excluding Beneficiaries of Wills | 1724 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Series (topic) | Break 1 |  | Break 2 |  | Break 3 |  | Break 4 |  | Break 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rendering Judgment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Payments | 1677 | down | 1727 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Repaying Debt | 1671 | up | 1614 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restraints on Trade | 1599 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reviewing Local Orders | 1630 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revocation | 1669 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rights of Married Women | 1590 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rights of Public Office | 1708 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Royal Patents \& Tenures | 1616 | down | 1699 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rulings on the Calendar | 1583 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Help in Real-Property Disputes | 1603 | down | 1708 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shared \& Divided Property Rights | 1629 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Specifying Inherited Property Rights |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Statute Applicability | 1681 | down | 1712 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temporal \& Spiritual Jurisdiction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Timing of Property Rights | 1603 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tithes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer of Ownership Rights | 1629 | down | 1682 | down | 1713 | down |  |  |  |
| Tree Law | 1667 | down | 1706 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trespass to Goods | 1708 | down | 1583 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uses | 1626 | down | 1681 | down | 1713 | down |  |  |  |
| Validity of Wills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vesey Footnotes | 1681 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vesey-Style Reporting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Writs of Error | 1706 | down | 1583 | up | 1656 | down | 1614 | up |  |
| Wrongful Possession | 1706 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes: The table reports the estimated breaks in each of the caselaw series constructed on the basis of the ER corpus (see Section 3). The series are defined over the time period 1552-1764. The breaks are listed in the order as identified by the Bai-Perron method for detecting unknown breaks, assuming a maximum of five breaks (see Section 3). 'Up' denotes a break that resulted in an increase in the expected value of the series. 'Down' denotes that a break that resulted in a decrease in the expected value of the series.

Table E2: Breaks in culture series, 1530-1700

| Series (topic) | Break 1 |  | Break 2 |  | Break 3 | Break 4 | Break 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Africa \& Asia | 1625 | up | 1578 | up |  |  |  |
| Allaying Christian Doubt | 1630 | up | 1603 | up |  |  |  |
| Allegiance \& Resistance | 1642 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analyzing Ancient Thought | 1590 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anatomy | 1664 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apocalyptic Theology | 1570 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Art Catalogs | 1590 | up | 1669 | up |  |  |  |
| Asserting Parliamentary Powers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Astronomy \& Astrology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attacking False Doctrine | 1644 | up | 1572 | up |  |  |  |
| Authority Relationships | 1585 | down | 1660 | down | 1611 down |  |  |
| Autonomous Church Governance | 1572 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baconian Theology | 1615 | up | 1584 | up | 1559 up | 1640 up | 1673 down |
| Biblical History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bibliographical Practice | 1654 | up | 1622 | up | 1581 up |  |  |
| Botany |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| British Isles History | 1588 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catechismal Compilations | 1570 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catholics Under Protestant Rule | 1592 | up | 1566 | up | 1636 down | 1676 down |  |
| Chemistry | 1662 | up | 1558 | up |  |  |  |
| Chivalric Literature |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chorography |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Christian Mental Exercises | 1641 | down | 1604 | up |  |  |  |
| Christian Mysticism | 1591 | up | 1560 | up |  |  |  |
| Comedy, Satire, Epigrams | 1642 | down | 1667 | down | 1575 up |  |  |
| Commercial Aids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Constitutional Rules | 1641 | up | 1565 | down |  |  |  |
| Continental International Relations | 1608 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cookery |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Counter-Reformation Scholarship | 1641 | down | 1564 | up |  |  |  |
| Deductive Reasoning | 1628 | up | 1601 | up | 1664 up |  |  |
| Deductive Theology | 1636 | down | 1568 | up | 1667 down | 1611 down |  |
| Defending Monarchs | 1604 | down | 1631 | down | 1656 down | 1555 up |  |
| Diet \& Health |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dignitary Law |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diseases \& Cures | 1663 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dissent, Schism, \& Toleration | 1636 | up | 1571 | up |  |  |  |
| Early Literature, Secular |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Literature, Spiritual | 1558 | down | 1583 | down |  |  |  |
| Ecclesiastical History | 1675 | up | 1564 | up |  |  |  |


| Series (topic) | Break 1 |  | Break 2 |  | Break 3 | Break 4 | Break 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic Lobbying | 1641 | up | 1589 | up |  |  |  |
| Emotional Relationships | 1588 | up | 1557 | up |  |  |  |
| Equine Learning | 1566 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Establishing Correct Doctrine | 1586 | down | 1612 | down | 1637 down |  |  |
| Expressing Loving \& Loathing | 1558 | down | 1669 | down | 1641 down |  |  |
| Family Matters | 1636 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fearing Catholicism | 1641 | up | 1588 | up |  |  |  |
| French \& Iberian History | 1574 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| French Romance | 1652 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hierarchical Church Governance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Crimes | 1676 | up | 1641 | up |  |  |  |
| Historical Romance | 1566 | up | 1623 | down |  |  |  |
| Holy Days | 1618 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Holy Lives | 1633 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Improving Soil \& Its Products | 1572 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial Arts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jokes \& Mishaps | 1566 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compilations of Laws | 1588 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lawfulness | 1583 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal Practice Aids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Listing City Facts | 1580 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local Law |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lusty Entertainments | 1660 | up | 1630 | up | 1600 up |  |  |
| Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medical Science |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Military Campaigns | 1632 | up | 1587 | up |  |  |  |
| Military Training |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monarchs' Lives | 1569 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moral Philosophy: Interests | 1669 | up | 1644 | up | 1613 up | 1588 up |  |
| Moral Philosophy: Passions | 1601 | up | 1676 | down | 1576 up |  |  |
| Moral Philosophy: Virtues | 1620 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Names Listed | 1641 | up | 1558 | up |  |  |  |
| Natural Philosophy | 1571 | up | 1671 | down |  |  |  |
| Non-Translated Latin | 1676 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not English | 1650 | down |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obloquy \& Encomium | 1609 | up | 1584 | up | 1635 up |  |  |
| Official Prayer | 1566 | down | 1669 | down |  |  |  |
| Old Testament | 1626 | up | 1582 | up |  |  |  |
| Ordinances | 1642 | up |  |  |  |  |  |
| Papacy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parliamentary \& Court Procedure | 1641 | up | 1575 | up |  |  |  |


| Series (topic) | Break 1 |  | Break 2 |  |  |  | Break 4 | Break 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Petitions, Protests, \& Proposals | 1639 | up | 1600 | up | 1575 | up |  |  |
| Pharmacology |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physics | 1661 | up | 1625 | up | 1600 | up |  |  |
| Poetic Laments | 1638 | down | 1556 | up | 1601 | down | 1668 dow |  |
| Political Poems | 1590 | up | 1557 | up |  |  |  |  |
| Political Uses of Religion | 1573 | up | 1673 | down | 1642 | up |  |  |
| Practical Geometry | 1556 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Practicing Christianity | 1580 | up | 1643 | down | 1668 | down | 1606 |  |
| Reasonable Religious Discourse | 1645 | up | 1604 | up | 1672 | up |  |  |
| Religious Love \& Hope | 1676 | down | 1609 | up | 1641 | down |  |  |
| Republicanism | 1586 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Romance \& Comedy Drama | 1592 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roman History | 1604 | down |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Royal Proclamations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural Recreations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salvation via Faith | 1641 | up | 1604 | up |  |  |  |  |
| Salvation via Virtue | 1666 | up | 1641 | up | 1616 | up |  |  |
| Scholarly Learning Guides | 1580 | up | 1658 | down |  |  |  |  |
| Scottish Law | 1673 | up | 1591 | up |  |  |  |  |
| Scottish Political Acts | 1638 | up | 1563 | up |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Reflection | 1605 | down | 1654 | down |  |  |  |  |
| Sin, Damnation, \& Repentance | 1594 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student \& Practitioner Law | 1647 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Transubstantiation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turkish International Politics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Using Numbers | 1587 | up | 1675 | up |  |  |  |  |
| Voyages | 1577 | up |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Western Europe Surveyed | 1637 | up | 1573 | up |  |  |  |  |
| Zoology | 1662 | down | 1555 | up |  |  |  |  |
| Notes: The table reports the estimated breaks in each of the caselaw series constructed on the basis of the TCP corpus (see Section 3). The series are defined over the time period 1552-1764. The breaks are listed in the order as identified by the Bai-Perron method for detecting unknown breaks, assuming a maximum of five breaks (see Section 3). 'Up' denotes a break that resulted in an increase in the expected value of the series. 'Down' denotes that a break that resulted in a decrease in the expected value of the series. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix F

This appendix provides the methodological background for the claims, made in Section 5.3, on the relative contributions of common law and equity. In particular, we show how we calculate the contribution of equity to the financial and family revolutions.

Between the late Interregnum and the end of the Stuart era, our analysis identifies up breaks in twelve finance and family topics: Mortgages (1659), Repaying Debt (1671), Executable Purchase Agreements (1672), Claims from Financial Instruments (1673), Prioritizing Claims (1673), Negotiable Bills \& Notes (1686), Bankruptcy (1713), Geographic Settlement of the Poor (1696), Implementing Trusts (1705), Marriage Settlement (1705), Daughters' Legacies (1706), and Implementing Ambiguous Wills (1707). We are interested in ascertaining the contribution of the Chancery, the key equity court, to these topics.

Recall expression (1), giving the relative attention of a corpus to topic $i$ in year $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}^{i}=\frac{\sum_{d \in D_{t}} w_{d t} \theta_{d t}^{i}}{\sum_{d \in D_{t}} w_{d t}}, \tag{F1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{d t}^{i}$ is the STM-estimated proportion of document $d$ from year $t$ devoted to topic $i, w_{d t}$ is the length in words of document $d$, and $D_{t}$ is the set of all documents (case reports) from the ER corpus published in year $t$. Using the Grajzl and Murrell (2021a) data, each document can be unambiguously classified as pertaining to either a case heard in the Chancery $(C)$ or a case heard in another court (non-Chancery, $N$ ). Thus, $D_{t}=D_{t}^{C} \cup D_{t}^{N}$, where $D_{t}^{C}$ and $D_{t}^{N}$ are respectively the sets of all Chancery and non-Chancery reports published in year $t$, with $D_{t}^{C} \cap D_{t}^{N}=\varnothing$.

Expression (F1) can therefore be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}^{i}=\frac{\sum_{d \in D_{t}^{C}} w_{d t} \theta_{d t}^{i}+\sum_{d \in D_{t}^{N}} w_{d t} \theta_{d t}^{i}}{\sum_{d \in D_{t}^{C}} w_{d t}+\sum_{d \in D_{t}^{N}} w_{d t}} . \tag{F2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, define:

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{t}^{i, C} & =\frac{\sum_{d \in D_{t}^{C}} w_{d t} \theta_{d t}^{i}}{\sum_{d \in D_{t}^{C}} w_{d t}+\sum_{d \in D_{t}^{N}} w_{d t}}  \tag{F3}\\
\omega_{t}^{i, N} & =\frac{\sum_{d \in D_{t}^{N}} w_{d t} \theta_{d t}^{i}}{\sum_{d \in D_{t}^{C}} w_{d t}+\sum_{d \in D_{t}^{N}} w_{d t}}, \tag{F4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{t}^{i, C}+\omega_{t}^{i, N} \equiv y_{t}^{i}$. Then, we define Chancery's share of relative attention to topic $i$ in year $t$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{t}^{i, C}=\frac{\omega_{t}^{i, C}}{y_{t}^{i}} \tag{F5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{t}^{i, C} \in[0,1]$. To gauge the contribution of the Chancery to the development of caselaw and legal ideas captured by topic $i$ over the relevant time period, we then calculate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\Omega^{i, C}}=\frac{\sum_{t \in T_{B}^{i}} \Omega_{t}^{i, C}}{20} . \tag{F6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{B}^{i}$ is the 20-year period that has the up break for topic $i$ in the eleventh year. Measure (F6) therefore gives the average annual share contributed by Chancery to the pertinent relative topical attention, using the observations from a decade prior to and a decade after the identified up break in the applicable series.

Table F1 summarizes the results in the form of the value of measure (F6) for each of the twelve finance and family topics under consideration. As stated in Section 5.4, the value that measure exceeds one half for nine of these topics.

Table F1: Chancery contribution to relative attention

| Topic (with year of up break) | Chancery contribution (share) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Mortgages (1659) | 0.591 |
| Repaying Debt (1671) | 0.361 |
| Executable Purchase Agreements (1672) | 0.555 |
| Claims from Financial Instruments (1673) | 0.706 |
| Prioritizing Claims (1674) | 0.602 |
| Negotiable Bills \& Notes (1686) | 0.245 |
| Geographic Settlement of the Poor (1696) | 0.039 |
| Implementing Trusts (1705) | 0.556 |
| Marriage Settlement (1705) | 0.579 |
| Daughters' Legacies (1706) | 0.688 |
| Implementing Ambiguous Wills (1707) | 0.671 |
| Bankruptcy (1713) | 0.591 |
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Earlier, Goldstone (2009) identifies a popular myth that revolutions are sudden expressions of widespread discontent, bringing about social change. But later, Goldstone points out that there are examples where this characterization does not fit, for example, the Meiji Restoration or the Chinese Revolution of 1911. However, all the revolutions he considers do happen very quickly, have political change at their core, and begin with intra-elite conflict. For Skocpol (1979), revolutions form an even smaller set: "Social revolutions have been rare but momentous occurrences in modern world history. From France in the 1790s to Vietnam in the mid-twentieth century, these revolutions have transformed state organizations, class structures, and dominant ideologies."
    ${ }^{2}$ The Oxford English Dictionary defines a quiet revolution as "a major social or political change achieved without violence or upheaval", but then specifically connects the term to a period of social, economic, and educational reforms in Quebec. Web searches confirm that this is the most common usage of the term 'quiet revolution', even though it has been used sporadically throughout the past centuries. For example, in The (London) Times of May 18, 1859, page 10, the Turin correspondent, after opening with a comment on the weather, reports on the military and political situation in Rome, which is predicted to have a "quiet revolution" similar to the one that occurred in Florence.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Our reference to England in the paper's title and throughout the paper's text is less than precise but is the most accurate term for the geographical coverage of our paper. We study corpora on law and on culture. England was united with Wales in the $13^{\text {th }}$ century, but it was only in 1830 that Wales was fully assimilated into the assize (or circuit) system from which important cases filtered into the top courts, and hence our database. Scotland retained its own legal system after its 1707 unification with England and Wales. Our culture corpus does include documents from Wales and Scotland. But Welsh-language and Scots Gaelic works would have been eliminated in our corpus pre-processing (see Section 2). Of course, English-language works produced by Welsh or Scottish authors are included. Nevertheless, the culture corpus is dominated by English works, and therefore a combination of parsimony and rough accuracy indicates our use of 'English' and 'England'. Given the way in which these names are usually used in the literature, listing the other countries would imply an emphasis that we do not have in the paper.

[^3]:    4 "We are just beginning in economic history to take seriously ideas and their trace in language. But economists and economic historians...had a hard time of it" (McCloskey, 2016, p. 253).
    ${ }^{5}$ These include the King's (or Queen's) Bench, the Court of the Common Pleas, the Exchequer, and the Chancery.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ This was confirmed via our personal correspondence with Paul Schaffner, a TCP production manager.
    ${ }^{7}$ Google books might be the one competitor. However, transcription quality in that corpus does not approach that of TCP and machine-readable versions of the Google-books texts are not generally available.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ In personal correspondence with us, Paul Schaffner, a TCP production manager, noted that "personal preferences of any kind had virtually no influence on selection". The reason is that "[the] selector's job was to go through [the tracking database] picking unique works in English, picking the earliest copy (assuming it was complete), avoiding Latin, and so forth. There was simply no room to introduce personal preference into this mechanical and tedious task. This was not a 'craft' operation; it was a 'production' shop."
    ${ }^{9}$ Anticipating our findings discussed in Sections 4 and 5, the sets of ideas captured by Keble-Style Reporting, ModernStyle Reporting and Coke-Style Procedural Rulings provide a case in point. The temporal evolution of relative attention to each of these three sets of ideas evidences a sharp rise at some point that is soon followed by a rapid decline (see Appendix C). But our empirical analysis, quite appropriately, does not estimate any breaks in the corresponding time series (see Table E1).

[^6]:    ${ }^{10}$ The corpora were further processed following the steps suggested for STM estimation (Roberts et al., 2019). All words were converted to lower case and stemmed. We removed standard English stop words, numbers, words with fewer than three characters, words included in only one document, and punctuation.
    ${ }^{11}$ Before final estimation, the researcher must decide on the number of topics, that is, the number of sections of the digest. Using statistical criteria and a more subjective evaluation of the coherence and meaning of the produced topics, Grajzl and Murrell (2021a) chose 100 topics for the STM of the ER corpus and Grajzl and Murrell (2023c) chose 110 for the STM of the TCP corpus.

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ See, for example, Grajzl and Murrell (2022a, 2022b, 2023b, 2022d, 2023a, 2023c).
    ${ }^{13}$ The existence of residual topics is a consequence of the fact that topic models "often shunt noisy data into uninterpretable topics in ways that strengthen the coherence of topics that remain" DiMaggio et al. (2013, p. 582). Reassuringly, all three series exhibit as a primary break a down break in the latter half of the $17^{\text {th }}$ century or early $18^{\text {th }}$ century (see Appendix E). This is consistent with the notion that the era of printing and the increasing emphasis on the vernacular in both religion and law led to greater standardization of the language and less use of Latin.

[^8]:    ${ }^{14}$ Relative to simultaneous estimation of multiple unknown breaks, the sequential approach has been shown to be comparatively more robust to misspecification (Bai, 1997).
    ${ }^{15}$ Bai and Perron (1998, p. 63), for example, characterize the first break point as "dominating in terms of the relative magnitudes of shifts and the regime spells".
    ${ }^{16}$ We use the standard value of 0.15 for the 'trimming' parameter, implying that in testing for unknown breaks we exclude the first and the last $15 \%$ of the observations.

[^9]:    ${ }^{17}$ When a rise in attention is large enough to cause a break, it is due to contention about a particular subject, where there are proponents of some particular point, A, or its complement, $\sim \mathrm{A}$. The down break occurs when a large proportion of society has accepted either A or $\sim A$. We usually name the topic according to the 'winner'.

[^10]:    ${ }^{18}$ The rise in attention to this topic in the late 16 th century can be attributed to the fact that around this time the main common-law courts, the Common Pleas and the King's (Queen's) Bench battled about the appropriate legal action for such disputes (Baker, 2019, pp. 454-455).
    ${ }^{19}$ This conclusion is entirely consistent with the evidence in Grajzl and Murrell (2021b) who use different methods to conclude that "[e]mphasis on precedent-based reasoning increases by 1650 , but diffusion was gradual, with pertinent ideas solidifying only after 1700." That is, using the method for ascertaining unknown breaks, we have identified the start of the process of faster diffusion.

[^11]:    ${ }^{20}$ There was no widespread attempt to reverse the caselaw rulings on property that had occurred during the Commonwealth.
    ${ }^{21}$ Even though the first Restoration Parliament annulled all legislation passed from 1646-1659 (with the exception of the provisions covered by the 1660 Declaration of Breda), the most important property legislation, which targeted the core of the existing land tenure system, was immediately reinstated by the same parliament (see, e.g., Jenks 1938, p. 242). Therefore, related caselaw developed before 1660 would have still been relevant.
    ${ }^{22}$ See Grajzl and Murrell (2021b: Sec. 3) and references therein.

[^12]:    ${ }^{23}$ The four up breaks in the final years of the century are on areas of law that are substantively unrelated to each other (Municipal Charters, Evidence Gathering \& Admissibility, Geographic Settlement of the Poor, Equity Appeals).
    ${ }^{24}$ An empirical argument would simply note that, for ER, ups and downs are nearly equal, with the latter slightly bigger. The theoretical argument is as follows. An up break occurs because a particular subject matter grabs attention. A down break occurs because a particular subject matter is losing the limelight. Suppose the data begin in a time when cultural patterns are stable, with no breaks occurring. Then this settled cultural progression is jogged out of its equilibrium by a sudden focus on a specific set of topics, which grab attention with all others experiencing slight, but not statistically significant declines (recall that our time series reflect relative attention). And then this new era remains stable until the data end. It is feasible in such a case, that there are many up brakes and no down breaks.

[^13]:    ${ }^{25}$ Mokyr (2016) focuses on later years than we do when emphasizing the cultural importance of the 3 C's. However, this probably reflects his interest in the spread of ideas and their use, while this paper concentrates on finding the time period when these ideas were innovative. Harkness (2007) does see the $3 \mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ s as present in $16^{\text {th }}$ century London.

[^14]:    ${ }^{26}$ The term Puritan loosely refers to those who wanted to purify English Protestantism from all vestiges of Catholicism, particularly emphasizing the basing of all religious practices on the Bible. Within Puritanism, there were many shades of theology and practice, the two most readily identifiable groups being Presbyterians and Congregationalists. On the origins of Puritan thought and action in Elizabethan England see, for example, Walzer (1982) and Marshall (2017).

[^15]:    ${ }^{27}$ For example, McCloskey (2016, p. 385) dates a post millennial eschatology at 1646, while our Apocalyptic Theology appears in 1570. Our Autonomous Church Governance appears in 1572; this is McCloskey's (2016, Ch. 39) democratic church governance.
    ${ }^{28}$ Mokyr (2016, p. 246) emphasizes "a trail blazed by Bacon and the Puritans who admired him", whereas our data suggests that Bacon built a road following a trail that clearly existed already.
    ${ }^{29}$ Bacon's maternal grandfather was a Marian exile (Garrett, 1938). His mother fostered Puritan causes as much as was possible given that she was a woman living in a polity with a Queen who was an ardent anti-Puritan. After Bacon's father died, his mother was willing to use her command over family resources to influence her profligate sons (Magnusson, 2001).

[^16]:    ${ }^{30}$ There are many elements of Bacon that were enthusiastically endorsed by later generations. Here we focus only on his emphasis on the experimental and inductive; we have no comment to make on two other sets of ideas often associated with Bacon by later followers, the utilitarian promise of science and the centralized organization of the scientific quest. On these, see Grajzl and Murrell (2019).
    ${ }^{31}$ The remaining three include one on religion without any political overtones and two on the 3 C 's.

[^17]:    ${ }^{32}$ Consider this introduction to an introductory English history course: "Culturally, the Restoration is best known as a backlash against the Puritan rule it followed. Specifically, society and culture around the king was characterized by loosened morals, more opulent displays of wealth and learning, and the celebration of the bawdy and the bodily. While much of that was limited to the court culture around London, Charles's court became the center of English culture, as he and his followers became the most important patrons of the arts." (https://resources.saylor.org/wwwresources/arc hived/site/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/ENGL203-OC-1.1.1-Restoration18thcintro.pdf, accessed February 18, 2023).

[^18]:    ${ }^{33}$ See also Grajzl and Murrell (2019).

